1. **Call to Order**

Muhammad Ahmad Rao, Alexandra Kropaneva, Felix Tager
Adan Corea, Ryley McGovern, Prim Udomphan
Bethany Fell, Ting Mei
Max Ganem, Quentin Messer
Ailis Hayden, Kiara Nelson
Ashby Howard, Raymond Ni
Gael Javier, Jacob Piazza
Emily Jiang, Mason Schroeder
Lena Klink, Nicole Soret

Excused: Katrinah Tejeda
Unexcused: 

2. **General Public Comment Period (TIME: 00:00:55)**

**Lizzie Essaid (she/her) ‘23** wrote:
“There needs to be more study rooms in KJ. They took a bunch away to make offices but they aren't even being used. Students LOVE those study rooms and they are used all throughout the day. Please can we have them back”

**Austin Bullock ‘23 (he/him) commented:**
“Hello, I am commenting today on my club application not being approved. I applied for a Ju-Jitsu Club on campus (3rd time, 4th time trying for a grappling type club). It was not approved because Student Assembly sent my club to the athletic department, when my club is not an athletic club it is a special interest club. My club does not plan to participate in ON-campus athletic activities and only would participate in on campus fundraising or awareness events for the club. I had around 10+ people signed up for the club and I’ve been trying to do this for years, only for a separate ju jitsu club to be approved. I wanted to start this club to gather a group of people to train ju jitsu from arguably the best ju jitsu school in our state just off campus, and wanted the funding to afford the opportunity to those who could not afford it. There are no competitions, etc, my club was simply trying to make people aware of the mental health opportunities ju jitsu can afford as cited in my club application. Because my club is not an athletic club, I was wondering if this could be reevaluated. On top of that, I’d be happy to change the name of the club considering there was another club approved on campus even though I have been trying for years. Again, my club is a special interest organization and non-athletic club, because doing ju-jitsu on Hamilton college campus instead of learning from an expert is questionable.”

**Alex Kropaneva** stated that she will reach out to Austin personally.

**Anonymous Comment ‘26:**
“Is there anyway of adding milkshakes option in dinner?”
Ting Mei stated that SA could reach out to Bon Appétit regarding the milkshake suggestion.

Emily Jiang agreed and stated that they would like that option but that it is unlikely that it will be implemented.

Felix Tager noted that there used to be milkshakes available but that the equipment would need to be repurchased.

Emily Jiang restated that there used to be milkshakes and added that Student Assembly could ask Bon Appétit to bring the equipment back.

Bethany Fell stated that students would also have to pay for the milkshakes, and that staff also disliked making them.

Emily Jiang stated that it is good to know that there is interest and that people should continue to voice that interest but should not get their hopes up.

Emily Jiang mentioned that in regards to the first comment on the study rooms in KJ, they will talk to Facilities about that. They do not know how likely more study rooms will be. However, it is always good to have student input.

3. Old Business (TIME: 00:04:41)
   ○ Minutes from 10/31

The minutes from 10/31 pass as written by unanimous consent.

4. New Business (TIME: 00:05:04)
   ○ Automatic Doors Stickers Update: President Emily Jiang ‘25
   ○ Emily Jiang briefly gave an update on the automatic door stickers, noting changes according to input from Associate Vice President for Facilities Klapmeyer such as font accessibility. Furthermore, instead of the QR code linking to a work order, they will be formatted as an email request so that these requests do not clog the work order line and instead appear separately. The stickers will be put up by Facilities Management in order to make sure placement is approved. Emily has also gotten approval from President Wippman and Dean Card, and will request the funds and start printing them next week.

   ○ Lighting of the Village Plans (TIME: 00:06:30)

Emily Jiang asked if anyone had plans for Lighting of the Village they wanted to share.

Raymond Ni stated that the Junior Class is thinking about doing a T-shirt painting.
Ting Mei noted that the First-year Class will have a table and hand out items like mugs or other small gifts people can grab and go. The class delegation will have a short meeting after this meeting to discuss their ideas.

Ryler McGovern mentioned that last year, SA gave out hot chocolate and something edible, which was a success. He would like to repeat it this year.

Felix Tager stated that the Senior Class wanted to do merch, but will instead save the money in order to do merch drops during Senior Week and events in the spring. Instead of merch, the Senior Class will be doing caramel apples or something that is wintery-snack themed and interactive.

Emily Jiang stated that Lighting of the Village will take place the week after break. Therefore, class delegations would need to request money for it next week. They should submit their discretionary budget request forms by this Friday so that they can receive adequate funding for their purchases. There were bonfires and other things planned last year. Emily also brought up that Student Assembly used to run Lighting of the Village and helped with the organization of it. Sadove has taken over the organization of Lighting of the Village but Emily would like the Assembly to take charge of it again in the future.

○ C2E Conference Recap (TIME: 00:09:34)

Emily Jiang noted that several SA members went to a leadership conference over the weekend in Boston. They thought the conference went well and each attendee learned a lot. They are hoping to continue sponsoring SA leadership conference attendances yearly, as well as bringing more people.

○ Guest: Counseling Center Director David Walden (TIME: 00:10:45)

David Walden introduced himself as the Director of the Counseling Center and gave a presentation on the Counseling Center and the clinical services it provides. David Walden also noted that the Counseling Center sees about 40% of the student body and that puts Hamilton in rare air — only 2 or 3 College Counseling Centers in the country provide services to 30%+ of the student body. The math problem the Counseling Center faces is that they want to serve everyone who wants to get an appointment but hiring the number of staff necessary to do so is difficult. As a counseling center, they have to keep the ability to see people and cannot close the door like a private practice. The counseling center is well-resourced and provides two main types of services. The first type is clinical services, and the second type is wellness services. The clinical services include two types of initial appointments, individual and group counseling, psychiatric services, and dietician services. The wellness services include peer counseling services, the community pantry, acupuncture, and the sound machines, weighted blankets, and sun lamps the counseling center has available for students to use. During the pandemic, the demand for
wellness services increased. David also explained how the system he uses in the Counseling Center works. They utilize a treatment focused system which means that anyone who makes it onto a counselors caseload, they will be seen regularly and have unlimited appointments. However, that means that counselors have a capped caseload and can only see a certain number of people. This system has led to a positive effect on the student body. Symptoms like anxiety and depression are going up nationally but Hamilton’s rates are increasing slower compared to peer institutions. The Counseling Center is still offering in-take appointments but if all caseloads are full, a student cannot be seen by a counselor in the Counseling Center. In that case, they will help connect students with other resources on campus or with the third-party provider they are working with, Mantra. Along these lines, they are also trying to increase student’s awareness of other resources on campus like the ALEX Advisors or the Student Support Care System. Nevertheless, the doors to the Counseling Center are now open and there are in-take appointments available within one or two business days. Those appointments might not work with everyone’s schedule and may not accommodate everyone’s wishes, but they are available. There is an issue with not getting onto a caseload but that is a limitation of the system. Looking towards the future, there is a trend in the broadening of mental health and what counts as mental health. That means that people are more able to talk about their inner lives and express themselves but that also means that every mental health problem needs a therapist and that is not feasible. To address that, the Counseling Center will be collecting data; there will be focus groups in the spring and they will participate in the Healthy Minds study. Everyone who will participate will receive a reward for participating. Once the data is evaluated, the Counseling Center will work on how they can incorporate the conclusions from that.

Felix Tager asked if Hamilton has ideas for offering Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT). He also asked how a student government can help promote services that the Wellness Center and Counseling Center offer so they are more well utilized.

David Walden replied that a lot of clinicians are trained in CBT and DBT services so they are available. In terms of promotion, he would love promotion of some of the wellness services as they are not that well utilized, such as Mindful Music and Mindful Movement. Community Pantry and Acupuncture are already well-utilized. Overall, the usage of clinical services is high. According to the Healthy Mind Survey that is conducted every year, about 90% of the student body knows what services the Counseling Center offers and how to access them. That is high also in comparison to Hamilton’s peer institution where on average about 70% of the student body know about what the Counseling Center offers. Furthermore, stigma, as measured by a percentage of students that endorse that someone else would judge them for utilizing mental health services, is 25% at Hamilton and 48% at peer institutions. Awareness raising is important for the specific Wellness Services but not for the overall services.

Bethany Fell noted that mental health is becoming a much more complicated issue, and wondered if they would hire more therapists, despite having the off campus option. Online therapy feels very disconnected compared to in-person therapy and is not as good. She wondered
if the Counseling Center is hiring more people to meet the demand and if not, how they are planning on meeting this demand.

David Walden replied that if he had more resources, he would search for more people. However, he is not in charge of that. In his opinion, the answer to the problem lies in utilizing and hiring people in other areas of the College. The broadening of mental health allows for an opportunity to seek out other areas of campus that can be therapeutic. They might not be a therapist but they can nevertheless be therapeutic. There are concerns that folks come in for that they do not need a licensed therapist for. For example, a meeting with a caring adult could be a great thing for that person. If he could hire more, he would hire more people, but also noted that it is important to broaden resources and bring in other areas of the campus.

Bethany Fell asked who they would have to talk to so the Counseling Center can hire more therapists.

David Walden replied that these decisions are done at a Presidential and Vice Presidential level. Furthermore, compared to peer institutions, Hamilton is well resourced.

Jacob Piazza asked if David Walden suspects that people are using therapy who do not need to. Due to the high demand, there is a chance that people who need therapy are not getting it due to other patients.

David Walden agreed and noted that it cuts both ways, there are people who need actual therapy, and others that are content with talking with someone caring and a mentor-type figure. Everyone needing a therapist would not work and with the broadening of mental health, there should also be a broadening of what, beyond therapy, is seen as helpful.

Bethany Fell noted that people with mental illness should be prioritized when it comes to assigning caseloads. For example, during their first-year, people who were deemed more stable met with a therapist less often and people who needed to meet more frequently could do so. This helped prioritize people with mental illness so that they can get the therapy they need.

David Walden replied that there are a couple of different pieces to this. First, when people come into the system, the Counseling Center will figure something out for that person; they will get care. The second piece is that it is hard to ensure that everyone can get a therapist. For example, when someone is coming in in November, other people already have established relationships with therapists. However, when people come and ask for care, they want care now despite them stepping into an already established system. It is a very difficult thing to do, but if there is someone who comes in and they are at high risk, then the Counseling Center will figure something out and make space for that person.

Felix Tager wanted to clarify that it is important to not separate people who are diagnosed and those who are not when it comes to priority in risk situations. There are people that could be in
crisis that have not been diagnosed or are simply in crisis. Furthermore, getting diagnosed costs a lot of money and people might not be able to afford that. Everyone should have access to therapy including those that are not diagnosed. Some people also do not want to get diagnosed due to the impact medical bills can have. He wanted to know if there is a way to get students to utilize the 24/7 crisis line that Hamilton has.

David Walden replied that he would appreciate anyone who encourages the utilization of the crisis line. The crisis line is very well utilized but it is not everyone’s cup of tea. However, spreading the word about it would be valuable nevertheless. He agreed with Felix’s point and added that the Counseling Center does not do professional diagnoses but rather works with the situation of distress that someone experiences.

Jacob Piazza asked how the Counseling Center distinguishes people who are considered to be in crises, because if he heard that there is no room, then he is left with the impression that there is no room for anyone.

David Walden answered that there is room to be seen for an initial appointment. Crisis or distress is determined by specific criterias like having thoughts of hurting yourself or others, the recent experience of domestic violence, or the recent experience of loss. Those are some of the objective things they look for. There is also a triage process where folks come in requesting to be seen and the criteria is used to see how to handle the situation.

Felix Tager asked if there is any way they could increase the Counseling Centers resources and aid in times of heightened stress season, like midterms. He further added that seasonal depression gets really bad especially for people who live far away from campus which then leads to them requiring more counseling. He wondered if the Counseling Center could provide programs that could tackle this issue of isolation.

David Walden answered that it would be hard to increase availability. Either, more people would need to be hired that then would be underutilized during other points of the semester or people would need to be hired for that specific time period. This is simply not feasible. People tend to not be available in this kind of way; for example, people build their private practice and do not wait around for them to be utilized. He noted thinking about his problem a lot and it is difficult to address. Regarding Felix’s second question, he replied that the Peer Counselors would be a good resource that could do such programming. He also suggested looking at other things that could be done to improve the isolation problem. For example, if Bundy was renovated or if students could do something that would make them enjoy their living space more, that could also help ease the situation.

Felix Tager noted that he is grateful for Sadove Programming in Bundy Café as it has made a difference for students. He mentioned the importance of giving people the support they need especially when they cannot get up the hill that much.
Ting Mei asked how students can make an appointment with the dietary specialist. She noted that she has heard from international students specifically that it is challenging to adjust their diet to what is available here.

David Walden replied that students can just call the Counseling Center to schedule a meeting with their dietician Lela. He also noted that Lela has done outreach and talked to international students to ease them into adjusting to the diet here. Usually she is not as pinched as the Counseling Center. He also added that it is generally easier and quicker to call in order to when scheduling appointments.

Bethany Fell mentioned that she has never met the therapist she meets with in person due to COVID. The therapist has expressed that he wants to see them in person, and she stated that therapy is also more beneficial if it is in person. They asked how they would merge back into in person therapy.

David Walden answered that 75% of therapy is offered in person and 25% is virtual. Some therapists are offering virtual appointments for various reasons. Nevertheless, most therapy is in person. The data on college mental health also suggests that alliance and outcome effectiveness is similar when it comes to in-person and virtual therapy.

Raymond Ni noted that BetterMynd is one of the outside sources of counseling and that students can use Hamilton credit to get counseling. He wondered when students would be referred to an outside source and when they would be seen in-house.

David Walden replied that they use BetterMynd fairly infrequently, and rather use another provider, Mantra Health, they are in contract with. Most students who use BetterMynd use their own insurance and seldom use credits. The determining on who goes where is based on a lot of things such as availability, needs, and what students are looking for. If someone comes into the office saying they need services now, and the Counseling Center does not want to overload their staff, they will use an outside service. It could be for presenting concern, demographic concern, or a range of other things — it largely depends on the needs and wants of the individual.

Emily Jiang asked everyone to spread the word about the services on campus and how to access them. The website has a lot of information on the services and how to get to them.

Ting Mei wondered if it would be possible to put the topics covered into the subject line or email body when sending out minutes.

Lena Klink replied that she will try to implement that when sending minutes this week.

Bethany Fell recommended Lexie Mucci to anyone looking for resources regarding specifically LGBTQ issues. They are not a therapist but nevertheless a huge help to the community.
Committee Updates (TIME: 00:50:51)

Mason Schroeder gave an update on the Academic Affairs, Enrollment Management, & Development (AED) Committee. On Friday, he met with the Director of Financial Aid who will also come in next week to speak to the Assembly about some of the concerns and misconceptions. He thanked everyone who has filled out the financial aid survey, and encouraged everyone to continue to send responses in. With more information, the committee has an even better idea on what to address and work on. He also encouraged everyone to set up individual meetings with the Financial Aid Office so that they are aware of a student’s specific situation and can address it specifically.

Ryley McGovern gave an update on the Justice and Equity (J&E) Committee. The committee has been looking at the responses to the form they sent out. The form has accrued a huge number of responses and the Committee will work on cross referencing the questions with other questions in the past. Additionally, they will be meeting with a research specialist who will help them gauge the questions and similar responses in other surveys to see larger issues.

Emily Jiang asked how the incentive distribution has been going.

Ryley McGovern replied that it has been going well and everything is distributed.

Lena Klink gave an update on the Student Health committee. She noted that her committee has been in contact with Director of the Health Center, Barbara Fluty, and Director of Campus Safety, Frank Coots, to go over the details of the graphic explaining the medical resources available to students. She clarified that Frank Coots is involved because Student Transportation plays a role in getting students off-campus to medical care. The graphic should be finalized soon. Lena also stated that she met with the Dean of Accessibility, Dean Harrison, regarding his idea for a Therapy/Emotional Support Animal Program. She explained that the event would be similar to the Paws to Relax events from last year and involve bringing animals to campus. The event would be sponsored by the Dean of Accessibility and SA's involvement would be primarily to promote and staff the event. She brought up the idea of it also functioning as a townhall of sorts.

Raymond Ni mentioned that the Late Night Jitney (Litney) Committee has been talking to Dean Card about some of the issues regarding the Jitney and how it used to be implemented in the past. The committee is thinking of sending out a survey to gain information on why people want it back and how to ameliorate some instances of drunk driving and unsafe walking. They are scheduled to have another meeting this week.

Mason Schroeder mentioned that the ResLife and Safety Committee has been meeting with various administrators on campus. Dean Card was in attendance last week, and this week they met with Director of Community Standards, Catherine Berryman, and Campus Investigator Judith Trimboli. The committee is working on improving the Title IX training to make them
more engaging and helpful. They also brought up issues students have with residence halls and accessibility. The committee is hoping to get a tour of the potholes done in order to fill them before the weather gets too bad.

*Emily Jiang* noted that Katrinah and the Sustainability Committee have been working with Brian Hansen on some of the issues that were brought up at last week’s meeting.

- **Elections Update / Discussion:** President Emily Jiang ‘25, Elections Chair Felix Tager ‘23 *(TIME: 00:57:15)*

*Emily Jiang* mentioned that nothing has been sent out regarding the election because no one has been running. This is especially an issue for the President and Vice President Elections. Class representatives can be appointed, but the President and Vice President need to be elected. It does not look like there will be an election on November 16, neither for class representatives nor for the President and VP positions. They have been consulting with Travis Hill, President Wippman, Dean Card and former SA president Eric Santomauro-Stenzel to come up with possible solutions.

*Raymond Ni* said it also happened during his freshman year; what happened is that there were three extensions that ended up having people running.

*Emily Jiang* noted that extending elections to either the date on which they were originally going to take place during finals week or to the week after break would be an option. However, if no one is going to run anyways, it is just a lot of work to keep extending. They mentioned that there has been increased engagement with SA as reflected in the amount of public comments and non-Assembly members joining committees. However, this has not translated into people running.

*Ryley McGovern* stated that from his perspective, he sees committees getting things done and where the Assembly is the most powerful. However, when it comes to the Assembly as a whole, things get done in a much less visible way. Therefore, students do not see that they, through being on SA or running for President, could get things done. A way to change that could be for SA to take a more proactive approach and make the role of the President more explicit. Committee work is much more direct in comparison to the work of the general Assembly. He suggested getting together and hosting an office hour where students can ask questions because having a weekly meeting is not enough to show what SA, as a whole, has to offer.

*Emily Jiang* replied that this is a great point and that they want to bring back townhalls.

*Felix Tager* stated that he thinks it is important to acknowledge the workload that students assume comes with being on Student Assembly. Being on SA can either result in an extremely light or extremely heavy workload depending on what an individual decides to do. He also noted that it is important to acknowledge that this work has been stigmatized either due to drama or the
history of student government at Hamilton. The best the Assembly can do is to show that this position is something that is worth having; however, that is going to take a lot of time.

Ashby Howard noted that having just joined the Assembly, a lot of there have been questions regarding the desire to get involved especially due to the emails and the drama that has been publicized. Ashby agreed that having a townhall would be a good idea and would show students that members of Student Assembly do not hate each other.

Emily Jiang mentioned planning for a townhall at the end of this meeting. Quentin Messer added that it is important to recognize that people have a hard time going through the information SA sends out. Going back to what Ting said earlier about making things more comprehensible. Minutes are a dense, longform dialogue which makes them inaccessible. Having something that is easier to digest would make the Assembly’s work more that makes it more accessible, relevant, and relatable. Student Assembly is not a bubble but actively engaged with the student body.

Bethany Fell noted that people are scared of having to be perfect in order to not be kicked out. It looks like members have to do a ton of work, which is not true.

Emily Jiang agreed that SA should address the issue of information that is not backed up by evidence being passed around. SA should know what students are saying but also establish that there are reasons for the impeachment and that people understand what is going on. They wanted to emphasize that this is a friendly place.

Raymond Ni mentioned that one thing that can be done is bringing back SA Tables and allowing representatives to talk to people.

Lena Klink brought up Dean Harrison’s idea of SA members staffing the Therapy/Emotional Support Animal Program could double as a townhall of sorts. Having the animals there also provides a nicer environment.

Emily Jiang suggested setting up a SA table in Diner and having freebies to give out and chat about what SA does.

Ryley McGovern suggested that another good solution to get people to see what SA is about would be making a post with reasons why members joined the Assembly and what work they are
doing on it. This would allow students to see how they can contribute and also build more personal relationships.

Felix Tager liked the tabling idea and suggested using leftover care package items as freebies. He also suggested showing people what the Assembly is about through getting things done. Given that townhalls are also constitutionally required, they should be started up again. He also brought up that he has some ideas regarding Title IX and how it is addressed at Hamilton. The ResLife and Safety Committee met with Director Berryman and Investigator Trimboli about reforming Title IX training as a lot of students do not feel supported by it. One of the ways SA can get students to realize the impact Student Assembly has is to improve the campus climate and the community.

Bethany Fell mentioned that everything that good ideas have been brought forward. However, all of it feeds into the notion of SA being a lot of work which will turn people away. It seems better to be more relaxed and find a way to show students what the Assembly is doing without creating more work.

Mason Schroeder replied that Student Assembly is a student government and therefore work. The Assembly can be friends with each other and have fun but there are changes to be made and work to be done. SA should not be anyone’s number one demand and everyone should prioritize their mental health and academic work. Not everyone is introducing bills and resolution, not everyone is on every committee, but instead everyone takes on what they can handle. This is what should be emphasized more. For example, he has a lot of friends on the Assembly but he also does work; at no point he has felt like Assembly work has been impossible to handle. He concluded stating that the work someone puts in is the work they get out but that prioritizing oneself should still always come first.

Quentin Messer stated that it is important to look at how things have been done in the past and how they are done now. SA could foster engagement through new mechanisms and not just through going back to how things were done in the past.

Ryley McGovern addressed Bethany stating that they hear them and asked if she had had anything in mind that would show to students interested in joining the Assembly that it is not too overwhelming to do so.

Bethany Fell responded that this is something that is hard to achieve. However, they thought that the best method would be word of mouth rather than townhalls or extra meetings.
She explained that she got recruited by Raymond through word of mouth. They believe that this method will be efficient, especially if someone already has a personal connection to a member of the Assembly.

Nicole Soret added to Mason’s point that what makes the Assembly interesting is that students can change the issues they want to see changed.

Ting Mei suggested making changes based on what the Assembly already does. She wondered if it would be possible to follow up more directly with the people who submit public comments as it would maybe encourage those students to get involved.

Emily Jiang noted that public comments are addressed on the spot. However, if someone responds to a public comment, they could also send a follow up to the person who submitted the public comment. It should not be a ton more work but rather just a way of telling the commenter that their comment has been heard and will be addressed.

Emily Jiang thanked everyone for their suggestion and hoped to continue this conversation. They stated that they are very content with the Assembly as of right now, and truly sees the Assembly turning a new leaf. However, as of right now, they are looking into postponing the election to the end of the semester. If anyone wants to talk to them about the position, let them know. They added that the conference that they went to was hosted by the consultant they brought in last year to help with the rebuilding process. They were thinking about bringing her in again to help with elections as she might be able to help drum up some interest. If there is no interest by the first week of December, the Assembly can work on other contingency plans on what to do.

Jacob Piazza noted that his barrier to running was opening the document with information SA sends out. He wondered if SA could offer someone who helps people interested in running through the process. That would help with making the process more approachable and less scary.

Emily Jiang replied that reminders are sent out. Additionally, people running for President are supposed to have already served on the Assembly for a year or two and be familiar with the Assembly. However, given the situation, that familiarity does not exist in the way it should.

Felix Tager mentioned that Class Presidents might want to start looking into appointing people, just in case the election leads to nothing. He will not be appointing people for the open Class of
2023 positions due to finding it difficult to present them as elected when they were appointed. If anyone is appointing someone, they should inform him so that the position is removed from the positions open for elections.

Emily Jiang mentioned that the Assembly will probably look more into appointing people, as it is better to have someone appointed than no one at all. This would also apply to the possible vacant president position, noting that it would be better to have a group of people manning it than no one.

Bethany Fell wanted to get to the root of the issue, and asked why no one already on the Assembly wanted to run for President. They gave their reasons stating that they do not believe to be a good fit for the position given the kind and amount of work that it requires.

Raymond Ni answered their question by saying that he is going abroad.

Ryley McGovern answered that if he ran, then someone would have to fill their position. This worries them given things are tight as is. He also stated that he has already settled in, and has plans in motion. However, he also acknowledged that it is important to address why people are not running.

Emily Jiang mentioned that they are open to assisting anyone interested in the process, promising to hold their hand the whole way through, and even knock on their door saying that their form is due. They also noted that they are still considering having no president next year and just chairs for the meetings.

5. Announcements (TIME: 01:21:31)
   ○ Special elections will be held on November 16th to fill open spots for 2023, 2024, and 2026, as well as for President and VP for the 2023-2024 calendar year. Please send an email to sa@hamilton.edu with any interest.
   ○ The Treasury will be opening new ways to apply for the Contingency Budget as well as new club funding, which will all go through the same existing Contingency Budget application.

Max Ganem noted that the Student Assembly Instagram has not posted in a while. He encouraged anyone who wants to post something to reach out to him. He reminded members that he is here and willing to make graphics for anyone who would like to have one posted.
Emily Jiang asked everyone to keep an eye out for Slack messages. They will be sending something regarding SA tables.

Felix Tager noted that Bon Appétit is doing a dining services survey which can be found on their website or the email that was sent out. They are also promoting giveaways through their Instagram @hamiltondining.

6. Adjournment