1. Call to Order
   ○ Present
     ■ Amanda Kim
     ■ Jiin Jeong
     ■ Alex Kurtz
     ■ Seámus Wiseman
     ■ Eric Kopp
     ■ Ashley Garcia
     ■ Orlando Paz
     ■ Nadav Konforty
     ■ Julian Perricone
     ■ Penelope Hoopes
     ■ Connie Lorente
     ■ Luis Morales
     ■ Geoffrey Ravenhall Meinke
     ■ Caroline Paulson
     ■ Frederick Xongmixay
     ■ Jay Carhart
     ■ Isha Parkhi
     ■ Bryce Febres
     ■ Lóri Fejes
     ■ Tatum Barclay
     ■ Malik Irish
     ■ Tommy Keith
     ■ Juliet Davidson
     ■ Nicole Ramirez
     ■ Emily Fienco
     ■ Nyaari Kothiya
     ■ Omar Lopez
     ■ Frank Meng
     ■ Lea Barros
   ○ Excused
     ■ Dorothy Poucher
   ○ Unexcused
     ■ Lily Brackett
     ■ Jay Menner

2. Public Comment Period
   ○ Levitt Leadership Institute (LLI)
     ■ Amahdi Mahil ‘20: I wanted to bring your attention to this great program that Hamilton College hosts, in the spring of every year. It’s called the Levit Leadership Institute, commonly known as LLI. What we do is meet for a week. By we, I mean us, a professor at Hamilton and subsequent staff, with students who apply through the program in January. They take
part in a lot of leadership activities, and they engage in different things. Later in the spring, they’re part of a class called COLLEGE320, which you get a full credit for. You get to go on an all-expenses paid trip to Washington D.C. You get to meet Hamilton alum in leadership positions and it’s all expenses paid. The winter weeks starts on January 13th and ends on January 17th. The DC week, we’re still hammering out. The application is open right now and its applicable to people from all years and majors. Whether you’re a senior or a freshman, you can apply, regardless of what your major is. The deadline is October 21st at midnight.

3. New Business
   ○ Discretionary Budget — Amanda Kim

   ■ Amanda Kim: The only thing we have for new business is about the discretionary budget, a.k.a. the entire thing with the quarter-zips. For those of you who don’t know or are on the livestream and were unaware, there were posters that were put up around campus on Friday asking about the quarter-zips that we funded through discretionary and kind of protesting them, saying that it wasn’t fair considering that we cut some club budgets. I met with the person who put up the posters on Friday night and I explained to them a couple of things. First, our discretionary budget is separate from non-strategic. We can choose to fund club through our discretionary budget if something that they are requesting goes against the funding codes but we feel is a valuable initiative to support as an Assembly. But these are two separate budgets and that discretionary is our own money separate from the money used for clubs. It is at our discretion, so it does not have to follow the funding codes. Once I explained it to that person, they apologized for putting up the posters and immediately took them down, which is why you haven’t seen them anymore since Friday night. They did apologize and we did talk about different funding codes issues. We all know our funding codes aren’t perfect and we’re still working to address that. We know a lot of the frustrations have become more salient, especially because funding was cut this semester. The person was very sorry for causing stress and misinformation and they actually emailed me a formal apology that they asked me to read to all of you. They requested to remain anonymous:

   For those who are not aware of the events which transpired on Friday October 4th, I am the person who put up the posters criticizing Student Assembly's recent purchase of 800+ dollars worth of sweatshirts under discretionary funding. I would like to publicly apologize for the spreading of misinformation I have caused. Me and several of my friends went through SA's funding process and were rejected. We started to think something suspicious was going on, and felt that calling Student Assembly out publicly on their recent purchase of sweatshirts would help bring
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awareness to this issue. While it did bring about discussion (which I wanted), it did bring about anger and resentment, and misinformation (which I didn’t want). I have talked to Amanda Kim about things Student Assembly can do to better suit the needs of the student body. She assured me that Student Assembly is going to be more open and approachable in general (ironically, that is what the sweaters were for!) in order to prevent further issues like this from occurring. She also clarified the difference between discretionary money and the funding process, which I believe caused a lot of the misinformation I spread. She expressed similar anger towards the funding process due to recent budget cuts, and told me that Student Assembly is trying to reform the funding process. In fact, she wants to encourage sit-downs and meetings with clubs who have any suggestions or possible reforms about their experiences with the funding process, with emphasis on ones who feel that they are actively being excluded. While good things did come out of my discussion with Amanda, its origins did cause untold stress for members of Student Assembly. For that, I apologize. I usually do not act out of turn in this manner, as anyone who knows me will confirm. I felt it was necessary in this case as it was a pervasive issue that needed to be addressed, but I should have done it in a more civil manner. I would like to echo Lori Fejes’s message (now deleted) in response to my actions, and say that "if anyone needs help with funding or club events, let [SA representatives] know. That is why [they're] here”. I didn’t do that, and I believe it shows. Have a good rest of your week.

- Amanda Kim: Huge kudos to the person for owning up to that and apologizing. That’s a really brave thing to do. So thank you to that person. I’d also like to say that while there was a lot of misinformation behind it and this wasn’t the ideal way to address issues with the funding codes, I think there is a lot that we can learn from this to see what we can work on. I think that although they should’ve come and talked to our representatives, I think the fact that this person and their friends felt the need to put up those posters and protest says something about our approachability as an Assembly. That’s something that we need to work on. That’s something Ashley and Publicity will be working on. And being able to identify your representatives in those quarter-zips is really important. I also think that there is a lot of misinformation about the funding codes and how they work. The funding codes were written not anticipating any budget cuts, like this one this semester. So me, Jiin, Seamus, Alex, and the treasurers will be working to address this. Maybe we could even form a working group to address the issues with the funding codes, especially for clubs that are feeling excluded from the process or who are being treated unfairly in any way. I want to encourage
anyone that has had a negative experience to come forward and talk to us about that. This is an issue.

- **Jacob Hane ‘22**: I just wanted to say that I appreciate you guys talking about this here today. It’s something that me and my friends felt upset about when we first saw it. I’d like to say here today that it is still upsetting, especially because a lot of us feel that there should be solidarity between the clubs and Student Assembly during a time when the budget has been cut so much, it’s important that we see a reflection of ourselves and we see not buying merchandise and working with us, which is what you guys already do, but showing that as well. Like I said, I think it’s great that you clarified this for a certain disconnect between the Constitution I think for someone who does not come to these meetings often. I do appreciate that it is about visibility. I think for visibility, these jackets are great, but $800 does feel like a lot. For someone who had their budget cut, or anyone who had their budget cut, it feels hurtful in some ways, again, there is a disconnect. I like the idea of really getting out there and talking to people. I think that’s a really good way of clarifying those things, so thank you. Thank you for listening.

  - **Amanda Kim**: Thank you so much, Jacob, for coming in. I just want to clarify that any money we spend in discretionary is not taking money away from other clubs, our budget is separate. Also, SA is also not a club. Thank you so much for coming in, but there is a lot of clarification that needs to be made on just how funding works, because that did cause a lot of confusion.

- **Penelope Hoopes**: I think we should host another SA pizza night, or tables in the Diner, so we can have these conversations and people can talk to us more personally.

  - **Amanda Kim**: That would be awesome. It can feel like you’re on trial if you come to the SA meeting, because this is a really intimidating setup if you’re not actually in it. But I think more informal ways to discuss problems would be a really good thing for us.

- **Juliet Davidson**: How is our discretionary budget determined?

  - **Amanda Kim**: We are assigned a lump of money at the beginning of the semester and 5% of it goes towards discretionary, while the rest of it is set aside for clubs.

- **Nadav Konforty**: As one of the few people that was here when we implemented a huge overhaul of the funding codes like a year and a half ago. Jake Engleman, amazing treasurer, I love him dearly. We just didn’t anticipate that it would be cut to the degree that it was, which has its own reasons that are totally fine. As someone who was part of writing the current funding codes, with a lot of people that were there at the time, yeah, sorry, we didn’t see that one coming.
4. **Old Business**

5. **Acknowledgments**
   - Nadav Konforty, Nyaari Kothiya, Omar Lopez, and Tatum Barclay for helping out with FallFest.
   - BLSU for being the only org to help with FallFest and the A Cappella groups that sang.
   - Ashley and the Publicity Committee for putting up the board outside of Diner and for updating the website.
   - Mike Moubarak and the Sustainability Committee for starting Open Hours regarding the climate crisis.

6. **Funding**

   Remaining Non-Strategic: $332.62
   Remaining discretionary: $2,740.21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Items/Services Requested</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Approved</th>
<th>Resubmit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powder Club</td>
<td>Killington Trip (advanced funding)</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC Democrats</td>
<td>Food for debate watch parties</td>
<td>$66.45</td>
<td>$66.45</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegan Club</td>
<td>Strategic resubmit</td>
<td>$912</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Strategic resubmit</td>
<td>$1,410.28</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASU Japanese Branch</td>
<td>Trip to Boston/NJ</td>
<td>$2,039.75</td>
<td>$1,607.12</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Club</td>
<td>Professor lunch talks</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society of Physics Students</td>
<td>Balloon launch, GPS activation</td>
<td>$222</td>
<td>$47.60</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean Students Association</td>
<td>Independence Days, game night</td>
<td>$308.16</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Club</td>
<td>Jazz musicians</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount Requested non-strategic: $17,058.64
Amount Approved non-strategic: $2,341.67

- **Powder Club - Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes Unanimously**
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- **Alex Kurtz**: Powder Club is requesting advanced funding for $6,000 for a ski trip. We’re putting this in the spring because its an event that actually occurs the weekend before the spring semester starts, so we think that makes a lot more sense.

- **Nadav Konforty**: Would it be coming out of next semester’s fund?
  - **Alex Kurtz**: It would.

- **Eric Kopp**: Do you know how much is in the fund for next semester?
  - **Amanda Kim**: The spring semester budget is set at the same as we have now, but it’s almost always more than what we have in the fall because we get all these rollbacks at the end of the semester.
  - **Alex Kurtz**: There are also fewer requests in the spring.

- **HC Democrats - Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes (22-0-1)**
  - **Alex Kurtz**: They are requesting some food for debate watch parties. It all looked good.

- **Vegan Club - Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes Unanimously**
  - **Alex Kurtz**: This was kind of the same situation for Vegan Club and Bridge. As far as I can tell, they took their strategic budget, which we already voted on, and resubmitted it. This was a little bit confusing. If we had used this, we would have been voting and approving things that we already approved funding for. I decided to cut it there and ask that they resubmit with the correct non-strategic form, just so its clear what we’ve already given them money for already.
  - **Nicole Ramirez**: They actually emailed me personally and I told them to resubmit non-strategic.
    - **Alex Kurtz**: Great, thanks.

- **Bridge - Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes Unanimously**
  - **Alex Kurtz**: Bridge was the same exact way as Vegan club.

- **ASU Japanese Branch - Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes (22-0-1)**
  - **Alex Kurtz**: They’re requesting money that we saw last week. They did submit the attendance this time, thanks guys! The only problem here was with personal vehicles, we don’t fund gas, tolls, and parking, in addition to the mileage. It’s already covered in the mileage rate. Based on their maps, their mileage was only $398, so it took the price down a little bit. Other than that, you guys should be good.

- **History Club - Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes Unanimously**
  - **Alex Kurtz**: They want money for two professor talks. One of them did not have a date on it, so we cannot fund that for this week. They also got funding from the History Department, so kudos to them.
Society of Physics Students
*Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes Unanimously*

- **Alex Kurtz**: There was no map included for the mileage to the balloon launch, so we can’t fund that. Other than that it looked good, so we’re asking that they resubmit that part.

Carribean Students Association
*Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes Unanimously*

- **Alex Kurtz**: This all looked good, but they did not include any attendance, so we were not able to evaluate whether or not it was in-line with the funding codes. We’re just asking that you resubmit and include the attendance on the non-strategic form.
  - **Angelique Archer ‘20**: The form didn’t let us fill it out.
  - **Alex Kurtz**: Oh it didn’t? Interesting.
  - **Pablo Reina ‘22**: I can second that. When the ASA Branch was filling out the budget, we were having trouble accessing that field, so we filled it out in one of the other cells.
  - **Alex Kurtz**: If you could resubmit. I’ll take a look at it and try and fix it. If it is still not working, if you could include it in the description, just so we know the number? Otherwise, we can’t evaluate for certain numbers, like food per person.
  - **Angelique Archer ‘20**: Okay thank you.

- **Nadav Konforty**: If they get that done, could we do that on an e-vote, so they don’t have to wait until next week? I would feel bad because if it was a small thing.
  - **Connie Lorente**: Is the event coming up?
  - **Alex Kurtz**: I don’t think that the event is within the next week, so we’re good.
  - **Amanda Kim**: E-vote not only stands from electronic vote, but also emergency. So we should just wait until next week’s meeting.

Spanish Club - *Motion to Fund as Recommended Passes (16-7-0)*

- **Alex Kurtz**: We’ve seen Spanish Club in and out the past couple of weeks, they’ve resubmitted a couple of times. Thank you guys for coming back again. We decided this week to try and end the revolving door of what was happening, where you guys would come to us and we would say “Give us something concrete for the academic departments,” and then you’d go to them and they’d say “Give us something concrete from SA.” We just want to put a little bit of a stop to that by recommending a concrete amount. I’m recommending $1,000 to cover part of the event. I know the overall event costs significantly more than this. If the event does not happen, the money would be rolled back and we would expect you guys to let us know.
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- Nadav Konforty: This is more a message to other clubs: if you have money, roll it back. As most of the budgets have been approved, besides History Club and Spanish Club, but History club is smaller than Spanish Club. If you look at the bottom of the first page, amount remaining non-strategic if approved is $330. There isn’t any more money. This is more a message to other clubs, to make it a community effort. It helps your neighbour clubs to roll things back, so they can take advantage of the funds that are for students. It’s more of a PSA.

- Caroline Paulson: Where did the $1,000 number come from?

  - Alex Kurtz: This is a number that’s certainly up for discussion, but basically they’re asking us for $6,000, which is already a portion of the overall cost, which is the cost of the musicians. As the treasurer, we decided we have to take a smaller number than that even. The number that we decided on was $1,000, that’s certainly up for debate. If we think that what we want to do is just give $500 as the number that they can take to academic departments, then we can have a discussion on that. We’re not going to cover any full part of the event. All the musicians, if it’s less than, I don’t know, we can ask them, I think it’s more, like $10,000 or something, which is something we don’t have. It’s up for discussion, but that’s the number we started at.

  - Amanda Kim: I disagree with this recommendation. I do think that this is really valuable and I do want to fund it. But going back, I believe that part of our responsibility is to fund purposefully. I think if we could fund a specific thing for your event, like snacks for the workshop or something, compared to an arbitrary $1,000, that would be better. Because then why not $500 or $1,100? Is there something concrete we can fund?

  - Alex Kurtz: I certainly agree with the idea and if we could come up with something concrete, that would be fantastic. My one concern there is that we say, “Alright, we’re going to fund your snacks and your posters,” but in fact, they weren’t planning on requesting snacks or posters at all. If we could get the part of that then I would be open to that.

  - Jeffrey Bush ’22: I think the issue with something concrete is that it really is the price for the musicians. It’s them coming here. I know there is some rule about transportation, like you guys don’t fund that. I like the idea, I just don’t see a specific part of the event. The issue is that it’s the musicians that are expensive. The $6,000 we had requested was a portion of that, that we originally needed. It’s not the whole $20,000. We’ve gone to academic departments. We’ve heard back from a number of them, I don’t think we would be able to push them anymore than we have. We spoke to Monk Rowe in the Jazz Archive Department, we bumped
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him up from $600 to $1,000, which is a huge amount for a department as small as his. We’ve bumped music up from $3,000 to $4,000. I don’t see how much more we could push them. They’ve been quite cooperative when it comes to funding us. Originally, SA was meant to be a critical part of our funding. I know that you guys don’t have that much money left. I would ask you to reflect on the fact that this is isn’t a request that we’re coming to you now, it’s something we had intended all the way back in strategic funding. Unfortunately, with mishaps and miscommunications, I think that we can all do better, club treasurers and SA, at communicating better to work on these budgets and make sure there are no errors so nothing like this happens. That’s sort of where we are at.

Iftikhar Ramnandan ’21: Professor Ambio who is the club liaison, she emailed us and told us that we need to confirm funding this week, since we need to start writing up contracts and making reservations That being said, everything is still tentative in regard to the exact values. That’s also an impending part as Jeff mentioned right now. We have in total $11,500 confirmed as of today. Last time we had $7,000 confirmed. That’s a big jump which is exactly what you guys asked us to do since the last time we came into this room. What I’m curious about, from our side what we were hearing, our liaison, Professor Ambio, talked to Kaity Stewart and she said that you guys would be voting on the merit of the event. Is this true? One of the problems seemed to be that you guys didn’t have funding in the budget, like you had $3,000 left, now you have $300. It seemed to be a problem that A: you can’t fund us, B: you could at least consider the merit of the event. Is that something you could do?

Amanda Kim: I think we can all agree that this event has merit. We’re not debating whether or not this is something of value. I’m sorry if that was the message you received.

Iftikhar Ramnandan ’21: I was curious if there was a vote.

Amanda Kim: We are voting on how much to give you. I’m so sorry that your experience has been like Alex has described, like a revolving-door. We usually can’t fund things unless we have a finalized number of how much you are getting from other departments. In order to put a stop to that revolving door and we know it’s been a really frustrating process for you guys, and we’re really sorry for that. For lack of a better phrase we’re basically trying to put you out of your misery by actually making a final vote on this now, even though we don’t know how much you’re getting elsewhere. I’m really sorry this has been your experience.

Jeffrey Bush ’22: I definitely get that. Thank you for putting us out of our misery as you say. It’s been quite a process. Not even in terms of our club, I wonder about that rule because what happens
when an academic department says “Oh I can’t fund you if I don’t know where you’re getting the funding from other places.”

- **Noelle Niznik**: I work upstairs in Student Activities. I want to apologize for the confusion around this. I want to say publicly that SA should be your first stop, that’s always been the practice. I don’t know if we’ve gotten away from that, but I know that Student Assembly should be the first stop for organizations requesting funding. Based on our funding codes, students should make a recommendation, then this body should vote on what should be allocated. Beyond that, to supplement you should be going around. Somehow things went backwards, and again, I’m sorry for that. I will say that Kaity and I are meeting tomorrow with some people from the Dean of Faculty’s office to better understand the funding that might exist from the academic side, just for future knowledge. I don’t want to be encouraging people to go to their departments, if that isn’t a reality. I think the price tag is so high, that it was like, oh my God, SA didn’t think they could fund it in full, so they would have felt better if you already had funding the bank. I think tonight, SA really just has to make a recommendation, which they already have, but to decide on what to vote on, so if you try to get some more funding raised, then you can. I just want to clarify that SA should be the first place clubs come. If the funds are depleted, then that means you all did your job, which is to allocate your funds. Now the role of the Assembly and the treasurers is to see where we can get rollbacks, either if they didn’t spend it or it came in under budget.

- **Iftikhar Ramnandan ‘21**: Aside from my treasurer, I had two questions. Are you guys more clear about what amount we have confirmed from people or would you like some clarification? Secondly, are you guys aware of the event description and what we are planning to do with the event?

  - **Amanda Kim**: I think we understand what the event is and are in no need of further clarification about where the other money is coming from. The goal of this is for you to be able to walk away with at least some funding from us. Even if we go back three weeks and pool all our money together and gave it to you, we still would not be able to fund your request in full so right now in my mind out how is to give you a concrete number so you no longer have to wait.

- **Julian Perricone**: I have a few clarifying questions. First of all, we’re taking a lot about raising the money you have, but have you tried the other side where you’re trying to negotiate the price?

  - **Iftikhar Ramnandan ‘21**: The negotiation is done through the side of our faculty liaison.
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- **Jeffrey Bush '22**: It is difficult because the rule is that we cannot negotiate contracts with artists. I believe it has to be done by Katie Stewart or our faculty advisor.

- **Julian Perricone**: Assuming they have done that to the best of their ability, if we were to give you $1000, do you feel like you would be able to get the rest of the money?

- **Jeffrey Bush '22**: We’ve been asking to possibly talk with CAB and see if they would be willing to co-sponsor this event. We’ve been looking at BLSU and LV to co-sponsor the event. BLSU is meeting tomorrow on that and hopefully they agree and we will have a stronger support from them as well. The $1,000 as I see it right now, would make it a challenge to get the rest of the money in. I know you guys can’t fund everything but I want to reiterate that every dollar helps. We’ve talked to many different departments and it would really help us if you guys could give us a good amount.

- **Julian Perricone**: I don’t know why we just don’t give them all our money. Personally, I don’t see what the reason to not do it. If this was earlier, we probably would’ve given this money to them and then to seek elsewhere had things been differently. As Noel just said, the goal here is to use our money in full. I don’t know if there is an emergency that would require some remainder of our budget down the line but I feel like we’ve had you on a weird leash for the past few weeks and I feel pretty bad about that and I would suggest giving in the rest of our budget.

- **Eric Kopp**: I was going to say that would it be possible to give them some money from our next semester’s budget?

- **Alex Kurtz**: No, that is funding for events in the next semester.

- **Nadav Konforty**: To go off of Julian’s point about funding them. I agree with Julian’s point. But at this point it sounds like if we gave you the funds that were available to the fullest extent we could, would you know within a week if it all worked out by this time next week would you know if it sank or swam. My thought process is just fund them in full and then if it doesn't work out within the next week, it will just roll back but I’m not sure if that’s your timeline because I believe that what your professor said was that next week is the critical time where if it doesn’t work out then that hypothetical money would go back to its origins.

- **Jeffrey Bush '21**: In terms of the week deadline, that was information provided to us by our faculty advisor. I don’t know where she is in the contracts. The other sort of funding was CAB. I don’t know when they meet or when we could send a proposal to them so I’m not sure if it could be a week. We’ve been working on this for as long as we have and we will immediately roll back the money if things don’t fall through. That’s fine.
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- **Amanda Kim**: Based on what we just discussed, I think we would be willing to give you $1,000 but I do think it is the most that we can give. We want to fund as much as we can but considering the fact that we asked several clubs to resubmit tonight, I don’t think it would be fair to use all our money.

- **Isha Parkhi**: I was going to say the same thing. We asked a bunch of clubs to resubmit. Also, keeping with that, it seems like we won’t have enough money so are we just relying on rollbacks at this point?

- **Alex Kurtz**: We are relying on rollbacks. We expect a good amount of rollbacks. I heard from Coding club and we are expecting $300 in rollbacks and potentially Bowling Club has a $1,000 in the works. And clubs roll back throughout the semester and treasurers will be doing some aggressive outreach to pursue those rollbacks. At this point, when you see that number go down to 0, it will be reliant on rollbacks to bring it back up.

- **Amanda Kim**: To clarify, we do expect rollbacks but none are guaranteed. I don’t think it’s wise to make any decision based on the fact that we might be getting rollbacks and assuming we’ll have more money later. I think we should make this decision assuming that we are not getting any rollbacks.

- **Julian Perricone**: Spanish Club submitted well before a lot of other clubs. I don’t know if that means anything. To me it means that there is no reason that we need to hold onto that money for these clubs that we asked to resubmit. I just realized, if every dollar helps them then I don’t see why or I don’t see how we should tease about what club should get the remaining $300. It almost seems like Spanish Club deserves it to me at this point but I don’t know about it in the long run.

- **Penelope Hoopes**: Are we allowed to reallocate discretionary funding?

  - **Amanda Kim**: We could but we also have events and initiatives that we would like to pursue. We would have to fund our own town hall and outreach and if we want to make ourselves more approachable or make changes people want to see, that requires funding.

  - **Alex Kurtz**: If we use our discretionary funding, it would be like reaching into our own pockets to fund this specific club because we support what they are doing.

  - **Penelope Hoopes**: I was wondering if we could give them like $500 from that. I don’t know if discretionary has ever been fully used before in a semester.

  - **Alex Kurtz**: Discretionary often gets quite close to getting used up. Last semester, we voted to reallocate some of the non-strategic to discretionary because we had some extra initiatives.

7. Announcements
New Jitney Tracking Software — Geoffrey Ravenhall Meinke

- This new software can be found through the Hamilton Mobile App
  - Open the Hamilton App and click "Jitney Tracker"
  - Once the page loads, press "menu" and then "customer login"
  - First-time users should press "new user" and follow the instructions to create an account with HiwayTrac. **Students must use a Hamilton e-mail address.**
  - Returning users may enter their username + password then press "sign in"
  - The map will show the location of each Jitney stop, along with the position of all Jitney vehicles.

- Students who don't use the Hamilton App should visit [hiwaytrac.com](http://hiwaytrac.com) on their phone or computer then follow steps 2-5 above.

- Have any other questions? Look at the HIWAYTRAC poster attached with the minutes.

Great Names with Tina Fey — Tue., Oct. 22nd at 7PM in the Field House

- Please note that your ID is not a ticket. You need to [get tickets online](http://getticketsonline.com).
  There are only a few remaining!

Club leaders, when you are submitting your budget, please make sure that you are using the correct forms and following the Funding Codes found on our [website](http://website.com) (i.e. attaching maps, itemizing, etc.)

Please wear black on Monday, Oct. 14 for Indigenous People’s Day. Shenandoah Kirkland Initiative will also be holding a Haudenosaunee (Iroquois) Social Dance & Dinner on Saturday, October 12 in the Events Barn, and a Land Acknowledgement event on Monday, Oct. 14.