1. Call to Order

Present:
- Kureem Nugent
- Lizzi Tran
- Gillian Mak
- Alex Hollister
- Kaitlin Dunne
- Jonathan Stanhope
- Silvia Radulescu
- Kacy Hobbis
- Aleta Brown
- Jeremy Cottle
- Danny Reyes
- Ilana Schwartz
- Ryan Bloom
- Gabby Troya
- Zach Oscar
- Jack Martin
- Adrian Summers
- Harry Dubke
- Maggie Horne
- Jonathan Kirshenbaum
- Jordan D’Addio
- Ben Katz
- Julian Perricone
- Nani Suzuki
- Eseosa Asiruwa
- Ben Isenberg
- Ram Franqui

Excused:
- Lilly Pieper
- Isabel Grieder
- Ryan Franquiz
- Annalie Garcia

Absent:
- Ishan Bhatia
- Cesar Domenech

2. Public Comment Period
   ○ Aleta Brown and Gillian Mak: Professor Sciacca and Leslie Campos wanted me to share this and I think it’s a great idea: basically schools such as Yale, Pomona
and Swarthmore have created petitions to declare their institutions as "sanctuary centers of higher education." This is something just to reassure people on campus that the institution will protect "the members of its community from unfair deportation, investigation, or other intimidation." It is about community solidarity. It serves to support people as human beings. We could do something as easy sending around a google form that people sign, allows for students to add their name while on break.

- **Adrian Summers:** I would have to agree with Aleta. I feel like if Hamilton College already has values that we protect and care about our students, it should be no problem to uphold them through this resolution. Yes, there are more things we could be doing; however, it’s confirming that we have these values. It’s powerful for students to know that the school is in support of its students.

- **Jonathan Kirshenbaum:** I also fully agree with the sentiment. If the language is including provisions that the "college will protect" it is kind of writing a blank check and signing it. Is it is just a pledge to commit social protection “that we value students of all backgrounds” or it could extend to actual protection?

- **Aleta Brown:** From what I understand, this is a petition to the Board of Trustees and administration stating we want the school to make an explicit statement of support, even if it is already implied in Hamilton’s values as an institution.

3. New Business

- **Bill Turner: Coca-Cola Recycling Presentation**
  - First off, I just want to introduce myself. My name is Bill Turner. I’ve worked at Coke for 22 years. The majority of my portfolio is made up of colleges, large venues, Six Flags etc. I primarily handle contracts between colleges and the company. It’s all about partnerships. I want to thank you all for being a partner of Coca-Cola. We have people that graduated from Hamilton in our company that try to give back to the community itself.
  - My main goal today is to educate everyone. I understand that Hamilton is looking to get away from bottled water and it’s environmental impact. I want to have an open forum. I’m not an expert. I want to educate you on what we do from a company standpoint.
  - Bottled water is locally produced and available in Plantbottle packaging. It’s not the same as tap water and not meant to compete against tap water.
  - All Dasani water bottles are fully recyclable PET bottle made with up to 30% percent plant based materials. We are the only company with 100% recyclable water bottles. We have eliminated the equivalent of almost 100,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions with the Plantbottles.
To produce Dasani water, we use an 8-step purified water manufacturing process. We are not siphoning water out of lakes/streams/low income areas. We are committed to being more sustainable in areas where we can make the most significant, positive impact. Our goal is to get everything back that we put out. This past year, we replenished all the water we used back into the system.

Together, we can work to find solutions. We make sure we have the right messaging and the right recycling bins at the right facilities. We are doing our part. My goal today was to let you know what we are doing. Everything you’re worried about is the same thing we are worried about. My goal is to not take anyone’s choice. To us, it’s about choice. If you want to drink that water it’s on you, if you don’t, it’s your choice. It’s my job to come in to the community. It is our job to educate everyone and make sure they do it all.

There are many ways to encourage people to recycle. For example, at the University of Connecticut, students used some of the money the college had to hand out t-shirts if they saw someone recycle. You can also promote dorm competitions for recycling.

Thank you. At the end of the day I am here as a resource. A lot of people look at my company in a negative way. I understand it. At the end of the day, I’m a salesperson.

Jon Stanhope: Thank you for coming in. You said you were familiar with the Take Back The Tap Initiative, right?

Bill Turner: Yes.

Jon Stanhope: Okay, so you’re aware that it is part of a larger movement on campus to reduce our environmental footprint?

Bill Turner: Yes, I understand that phase 1 involves reducing the amount of water bottles on campus vending machines.

Jon Stanhope: Okay. And you talked a lot about how Coca-Cola has taken steps to reduce the impact that its products has on the environment, for example by redesigning the “plant bottle” and making the cap on the bottle smaller. You also talked about how Coca-Cola is making efforts to reduce the amount of energy that goes into the recycling process. So you’d have to agree saving the environment is both of our goals, right?

Bill Turner: Yes.

Jon Stanhope: Okay, so if that’s the goal, then wouldn’t it make sense from our standpoint to not use plastic water bottles at all, as even the most efficient recycling process uses more energy than not having to recycle used bottles to begin with?

Bill Turner: Yes, from what I was told, Hamilton is going to start by eliminating bottled water in the vending machines. We will replace them with sparkling water or a healthier alternative. But it
is also about choice. I’ve got campuses who ban bottled water all across the board and they’ve come back saying it didn’t work. I’m still going to be delivering on this campus no matter what. I’m not making extra stops here just because of bottled water. Whatever you guys decide you are going to do as a college campus, there’s not much I can do besides be here. But at some point if you ban everything, what will you drink? I know we talked about surveying students. If you look at it as a whole, if I’m delivering bottles and you’re giving it back to me, it’s saving me from getting more materials. We are using the stuff that’s already here today.

- **Jack Wright:** In conjunction with reducing bottled water, we are upping accessibility of water on campus. With the plant bottles, it’s great that they are 30% plant bottles. However, only about ¼ water bottles are recycled. The rest end up in a landfill. The ones recycled will move down until they are no longer a useful product.

- **Harry Dubke:** So, one point of the argument is that you want to maintain choice on campus. Our main objective is to reduce environmental impact as a whole of the campus. We aren’t going after Coca-Cola. If you’re talking about choice and you take the water from municipal sources, then wouldn’t tap water be just effective. If we are making that water accessible with filters and increasing infrastructure on campus, I think this would work. Honestly the only difference I can see will be less of an environmental impact.

- **Bill Turner:** Do you have the infrastructure for Take Back the Tap? I’m not here to tell you what you can and cannot do. I’m not here to persuade you to do that. I’ll give you an example of University of Vermont. We pulled bottled water and surveyed 2 years later. Initially, our survey showed that students consumed about 24 units. That actually went up to 25. They consumed more beverages when they removed bottled water from campus. The ones who’ve done it, there are people coming on campus who can’t get water. Other campuses have banned it completely. I see students pulling in cases of bottled water from off campus.

- **Jacqueline Guyol:** I wanted to comment on the University of Vermont. What happened was they took away bottled water without adding tap water availability. We are trying to address that here.

- **Aleata Brown:** Thank you for coming and talking to us. I appreciate you taking the time. I am glad to see what Coca-Cola is doing. I’m glad you’re moving forward and making the effort. I am concerned that we are talking about choice a lot and the fact of the matter is that some students cannot afford to pay for bottles of water. Maybe we should work to make the
types of water more equalized on campus. On the other hand, efforts for sustainability are so great, ¼ is recycled so it’s giving someone something they can’t just throw away. That’s important to me. Telling someone to recycle is something we’ve been doing, but as long as they have access to something they can just throw away, I don’t know if we can fully address the problem. Improvement on a corporate level is very different than my position as a student-- within our institution, our methods might be slightly different than your company.

- Bill Turner: You’re looking at it as if I eliminate it, they won’t make the wrong choice. If the campus and everyone in this room see someone not recycling, we should say something. If we aren’t doing that, we fail. My 9 year old will never throw a bottle in the recycling bin. We give money back to the bottles given back to us by the college. We need to educate everyone on campus and make sure we are living and breathing it every day. Students, faculty, and staff... This is all of our responsibility.

- Jon Stanhope, Student Assembly Parliamentarian: Proposed Changes to the Funding Codes

- Kureem Nugent: Time for the Fun-ding to begin. Jon is going to go over proposed changes to the funding code line by line.
  - Maggie Horne: Did you just make a funding pun?

- Jon Stanhope: So all of the changes italicized are suggestions. You can adopt/throw any of them out--they are suggestions. They were recommended by Kureem, Alex, Katie, Noelle, Lizzi, and myself.

Section 2.A.1. Newly approved student organizations are not permitted to receive Student Assembly funds until the completion of their five/ten week probationary period. This probationary period does not include weeks during summer and winter breaks.

- Jon Stanhope: The reasoning behind this was for groups to not submit a new organization, get funding within a matter of days, and dissolve. It ensures they are real groups.

- Ryan Bloom: I’m in favor of reducing it to 5 weeks, especially because it does not include breaks. You can’t start something over the summer and come in ready to get funding.

- Aleta Brown: I’m also in favor of the 5 weeks. Regardless of how long it is, we still get to make a decision on the budget. Give more access to what students want.

- Jonathan Kirshenbaum: Any number between 5 and 7 weeks would allow an organization newly formed to still have an event towards the end of the semester. This current policy is relatively prohibiting against it. This allows the organization to get the planning done towards the beginning of the semester.
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- **Alex Hollister:** You can’t receive funding if you don’t go to basic leadership training. I say that we add a part b that includes the basic leadership training.
  - **Aleta Brown:** So what if you started a group in the middle of the semester?
  - **Alex Hollister:** You’d have to wait until the following semester to access funding because of Basic Leader Training.

Passed with 5 week probationary period. Adding in a line about the Basic Leader Training.

Section 2.E: In order to utilize funding, organizations must receive approval from necessary offices (Campus Safety, Physical Plant, etc.)

- **Ryan Bloom:** I’m wondering if there is a room for discretion with this clause. With some types of events, it might take longer to plan. I see why this is important, but what if we allow up to a week after the organization receives funding.
- **Alex Hollister:** What about if it is to access funding from the account.
- **Silvia Radulescu:** Instead of saying “must” we should just include this in the basic leadership training.
- **Kaity Werner:** I thought we were wording it, “in order to utilize the funding you’ve received, your organization must receive approval from these offices.” We’ve had instances where we fund things, but then we talk to groups afterwards and realize that they can’t be done. For example, this semester we had an issue with a slip-n-slide event.
- **Zach Oscar:** I understand there have been specific scenarios, but this makes it seem that in order to receive funding for anything you have to check with every office that is relative.
- **Noelle Niznik:** This gives the Student Activities office the chance to step in and say “actually, we need to check in with these people first.”
- **Alex Hollister:** The sentiment is just because they have the money doesn’t mean that they have the ability to use it. It’s for them to know that there is an authority higher than us that can take these funds away.
- **Ryan Bloom:** We could expand on types of events and types of necessary offices in the funding guide that can be adapted year to year.
- **Caroline Kriedberg:** There are a lot of things that can happen in the weeks leading up to an event. But there is a difference between needing to use a space and using power tools. I’m imagining everyone on campus contacting physical plant during the first few weeks and it would be too much.
- **Silvia Radulescu:** Can we vote on taking that out and putting it into the Basic Leadership Training Funding Guide?
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- **Kaity Werner**: Could we change it to say something about the use of funds provided by SA is subject to review by other organizations?
- **Alex Hollister**: How about contingent of administrative approval?
- **Caroline Kriedberg**: Can we make it about reducing SA liability?
- **Jonathan Kirshenbaum**: Issue of more clarification puts more pressure on us. Unless we can get consensus and there’s governing for years. Shift accountability
- **Kureem Nugent**: Let’s table this and come back to it next meeting.

Tabled to next meeting.

Section 2.F: The Central Council reserves the right to require representatives from any student organization to attend a Central Council meeting or a Funding Committee meeting to explain their proposal in more detail.

- **Ryan Bloom**: Saying “we reserve the right to require representatives” makes it seem like they don’t get funding at all if they don’t come.
- **Silvia Radulescu**: “Isn’t request counter intuitive?” It would be for a reason.
- **Jon Stanhope**: The idea is that if a group submits a budget that is unusual or needs further explanation, this allows us to bring group representatives to a meeting to further explain their budget request.
- **Alex Hollister**: If they are over the CPS they currently come in and explain anyways.

Passes as recommended.

Section 3.A: 75/80% of the Student Assembly budget, less holds and the discretionary fund, shall be allocated to the strategic budget fund. The remaining 25/20% shall be allocated to the non-strategic fund, available throughout the semester.

- **Alex Hollister**: I personally like to keep it 80/20. There is currently a lot of excess non-strategic funding this semester. This was a suggestion that clubs gave, since they like the idea of more leeway throughout the semester.

Recommendations do not pass. 80/20 rule stands.

Section 3.C.: Organizations will be given a deadline at or around 2 weeks into the semester to submit their strategic budget proposals, with funds to be voted on at the following Central Council meeting.

- **Maggie Horne**: This is just rewording, right?
- **Jon Stanhope**: Yes.

Passed as recommended.

Section 3.D: No student organization, through a combination of strategic and nonstrategic funds, shall be recommended by the funding committee to receive greater than 10% of the Central Council’s total semester funds.
a. In special circumstances, the Central Council holds the right to override this rule by a ⅔ vote.

- **Jon Stanhope:** This rule will replace the 25% rule that we had formerly. Under the new rule no group whatsoever could get over 10% of our total budget for the semester.
- **Kacy Hobbes:** I’m in favor. With the current 25% rule it encourages clubs to request more than they need so they can get more from nonstrategic.
- **Jonathan Kirshenbaum:** Why would we add this policy? Is there a concern that this would limit longer term/larger events? So far we have seen
  - **Jon Stanhope:** Say you have a group that asks for $8,000 at the beginning of the semester, under the current rules they can receive up to $2,000 additional funding later in the semester. In contrast, a group that received just $2,000 at the beginning of the semester is restricted to accessing just $500 in additional funds. This is not fair. Groups should not be punished for being conservative in their initial budget proposals.
  - **Alex Hollister:** There are some big events for strategic each semester, like Powder Club and IMF, which request over a tenth of our budget.
  - **Kureem Nugent:** Also, the constitution allows special circumstances.
- **Kacy Hobbes:** Did this rule stop anyone from getting additional funding in the past?
  - **Alex Hollister:** I do not know off the top of my head. It’s more strategic budgets at the beginning of the semester like IMF/Powder Club that we are concerned about. Also, this should fix the 25% rule and the inflation of strategic budgets.

Passes as recommended.

Section 3.E: Any funds remaining in the strategic fund shall be moved to the non-strategic fund after the Central Council has voted on all strategic budget proposals submitted within the strategic budget deadline.

- **Alex Hollister:** We already do this, so we are just officially codifying it.

Passes as recommended.

Section 4.A: Budget proposals must be submitted to the Funding Committee at least two weeks / ten days in advance of the event or expenditure.

- **Alex Hollister:** 2 weeks seems like a long period of time. Non-strategic was for leeway and flexibility. 10 days is still planning ahead, and we should be giving groups the benefit of the doubt.
- **Jonathan Kirshenbaum:** It’s less possible to abuse with only ten days. If there’s a big event you’ve forgotten to plan for 10 days in advance.
**10 Days rule passes as recommended.**

Section 4.B.4: The date, time, and location of the event, as well as the reservation number from 25Live if using an on-campus space.

- **Gillian Mak:** Is this the same as requiring the time/date/location now?
- **Ryan Bloom:** It’s hard to delete the event off of 25Live if you need to cancel. I don’t know if they’ve changed that. People might reserve spaces for no reason if groups aren’t funded?
- **Gabby Troya:** Could we take out the 25Live part? Everything is subject to approval anyway per the earlier line that we approved.
- **Lizzi Tran:** My only concern is that right now we have a lot of money in the non-strategic fund. However say we have a semester where we do not. If the organization/club can’t fund the event because they didn’t reserve the space soon enough, then we might be taking away the opportunity from another organization.
- **Ryan Bloom:** That could go towards the merit of a group’s proposal. If two groups have equally interesting and worthwhile proposals and we have to pick just one, then the one that has a reservation could be the tipping point.
- **Caroline Kriedberg:** Could this apply to large event spaces, like the Barn or the Annex? KJ classrooms don’t really matter. Could it be a difference between Strategic and Non-strategic Budget when you do strategic you have more time to plan.
- **Kacy Hobbis:** Could we do something like “2 weeks before your event you must contact SA office w/ 25 Live reservation”?
- **Jon Stanhope:** I propose that we vote on the proposed rule. If it does not pass, the rule will be kept the same.

**Recommendations do not pass. Prior rule with Time/Date/location stands.**

Section 4.C.1.iii: If an organization wishes to order from a restaurant or catering service, that organization must itemize the food order in their budget proposal. Though it is encouraged, organizations looking to cook a meal are not required to itemize each ingredient.

Passed as recommended:

Section 4.C.4.i: Events which receive a plurality of their funding from Student Assembly must have the Assembly logo on all of their publicity and must be publicized to the entire student body.

**Passes as recommended:**

Section 4.C.4.ii.a: Publicity includes emails, posters, flyers, stickers, and any other forms of advertisement.

Section 4.C.4.ii.b: The Central Council shall not provide funding for t-shirts as a form of advertising for a club itself. However, the Central Council may elect to provide funding for t-shirts for events, provided the event is open to the entire student body, the t-shirts enhance the mission of the event, and it is publicized how t-shirts will be allocated.
Passes as recommended.

Motion to extend the meeting 30 minutes. Passes.

Section 4.C.5.i.a-d.: Budget proposals should include, if necessary, costs for custodial services and utilities.

a. Events that require setup or cleanup should budget a minimum of $25 each of these services.

b. Events that present substantial risks and thus require the presence of campus safety officers must budget $30 per officer, per hour.

c. Campus AV (Audiovisual Services) and Event Staff are free to student organizations.

d. For concerts and other performances, student organizations should budget for an electrician to be present for the duration of the concert, as well as during tie-in and tie-out for external sound setup.

  ○ Alex Hollister: Things are things we already recommend that they include in their budgets, so once again, just officially codifying them.

Section 4.C.6.I: Students are not authorized to sign entertainment/speaker contracts and/or obligate funds from the Student Assembly and Hamilton College. The Director of Student Activities or Assistant Director of Student Activities are the only authorized signatories. Under no circumstances will an organization commit the College to an engagement without prior consent of the Director or Assistant Director. If this occurs the organization will lose funding for at least one semester.

  Passes as recommended

Section 4.C.6.iii: Student Assembly, in consultation with the Director and Assistant Director of Student Activities, reserves the right to not allocate funding for international payments if doing so presents an undue burden.

  ○ Jon: Allows Student Activities to say no, but we will try to accommodate.

  Passes as recommended

Section 4.C.7.iii: All prize winners must be currently enrolled students of the College.

  ○ Ilana Schwartz: I have a question about prize winners. What about Microfinance’s contest for family weekend. Is that through us? Parents have won in the past.

  ■ Lizzi Tran: I’m on Microfinance’s eboard. We get our funding from Sadove funding for our family weekend events. The rules still apply to us. It has to go to students.

  ○ Alex Hollister: We don’t fund Microfinance for that. My other concern is T-shirts. In the rules currently, t-shirts can go to anyone, whether or not they are a student. Should they be classified the same as prizes?

  ○ Ilana Schwartz: I think this is a consistent topic. Our money is coming straight from the students. It shouldn’t be going to people outside of Hamilton’s students.
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- **Alex Hollister**: For citrus bowl, should parents and Clinton community members be able to receive shirts?
- **Ilana Schwartz**: I think we should tell someone that they can’t get one if they are not a student.
- **Ryan Bloom**: I don’t think we should change this here because it can be reasonably inferred in the section on t-shirts. We could clarify when deciding to fund it.

**Passes as recommended**

Section 4.C.9 i: The Student Assembly will not fund the following: a. Web pages  b. Plastic, single-use water bottles.  c. Charges related to private events (e.g. food, cleaning, etc.).  d. Donations to nonprofit organizations, and fundraising events with a mandatory entrance fee.  e. “Miscellaneous costs/items.”

**Passes as recommended**

Section D.1: Organizations wishing to reallocate funds to a new purpose must first receive approval from the Student Assembly Treasurer after outlining the details of the reallocation (how much funding, for what purpose, etc.).

- **Zach Oscar**: It should be in the fashion of the original budget proposal, so we have the full picture.

**Passes as recommended**

Section 4.D.4: Student Assembly reserves the right to audit spending of funds allocated to organizations and to manually check for rollbacks if the Central Council exhausts its funds for the semester.

- **Alex Hollister**: We basically check to see that they are appropriately spending their funds as they specified in their budget proposal.

Section 5E.1.iii: No organization shall be recommended by the Funding Committee to receive greater than 10% of the Student Assembly’s semester-long budget. In special circumstances, the Central Council can override this rule with a ⅔ vote.

**Passes as recommended**

Section 5E.1.iv: The merits of each proposal shall be evaluated using the guidelines set forth in Article X, Section 4. If the average cost per student in a budget proposal seems unreasonably high, the Funding Committee reserves the right to meet with representatives from the organization for further explanation.

**Passes as recommended**

Section 6.B: The Central Council is instructed to decide on funding based on the following criteria:
1. The amount the organization has requested and spent previously during the semester.
2. The strength of the organization's proposal.
3. The amount of the budget the organization is requesting.
4. The time and effort the organization must put into the programming.
Section 6.C: Approval of budgets that exceed 5% of the Student Assembly budget for the semester require line-item approval.

- **Zach Oscar:** Fix double negative wording.
- **Kacy Hobbis:** Can we put something in the amount they requested about physical equipment? I’m thinking about clubs that ask for audio speakers every year. We should have them list the physical items they’ve received in the past so we are not funding items twice.

Section 6.D: No Student Assembly member may vote for funds for clubs of which they are a member or toward which they have an unfair bias. Failure to recuse oneself from such votes may be grounds for a vote of impeachment, as laid out in Article VII, Section 2.

- **Jon Stanhope:** Currently, we have an honor system for abstentions. This new rule would state that SA members can’t vote for funding for a group they are a member of or feel that they are unfairly biased toward.
- **Silvia Radulescu:** I would take out “to what you have an unfair bias”
- **Alex Hollister:** I’m against the “unfair bias;” it’s a small campus and a lot of us benefit from these events in some way or another.
- **Zach Oscar:** This might get totally shot down. Part of me thinks that you should be able to vote for something even if you are a part of it. In presidential elections, you can vote for yourself.
- **Ben Katz:** I agree with Zach, but I don’t think that class Treasurers should not vote on funding in organizations they are a part of.
- **Gillian Mak:** Members should be upfront with organizations you are in if you are going to vote.
- **Jonathan Kirshenbaum:** If we are speaking for the students, it needs to be as clear as possible.
- **Julian Perricone:** When you vote for president, it’s private. This is very public.

**Does not pass.**

**Removed phrase “unfair bias”. Passed with new recommendation.**

Section 7.A-B:

A. The Office of Student Activities, in consultation with the Funding Committee, may designate certain funds to be held for major, annual programs or events (i.e. Movie Channel, Student Assembly Programming, Senior Week). The Treasurer is responsible for keeping track of hold durations.

B. Holds are not available to individual student organizations.

- **Jon Stanhope:** This takes Central Council out of holds and gives administration power to decide this.

**Passed as recommended.**

Section 9.A. The thresholds and values stated in these codes should be re-evaluated every two years to ensure timeliness and accuracy.

**Passed as recommended.**
The use of the Discretionary Fund is excluded from all funding codes set forth hitherto. The following guidelines apply to the use of the Discretionary Fund.

Section 10.A.2. The Discretionary Fund shall exist for use by the Central Council or Executive Committee to develop programming for the benefit of the student body and to promote Student Assembly as a governing body on campus.

○ Silvia Radulescu: 2 things: Isn’t that how it has always been? Didn’t we used to call it the slush fund for tailgates for the school? When did that change?

○ Alex Hollister: We can essentially use the funds now for whatever we see appropriate. The funding rules won’t apply to the Discretionary Fund.

○ Jonathan Kirshenbaum: would this not fall under the “unfair bias fund?”

○ Kaity Werner: I spoke to Noelle about this as well. The whole point of the discretionary fund is for operations. It’s for the use of the Student Assembly. It’s your operation funds in the benefit of the student body. It’s things you’ve strayed away from under Lizzi and Kureem’s administration. It’s saying it’s okay to do things: if you feel as though SA doesn’t have a vision on campus, you could vote for things like t-shirts for 500 students for name recognition or all campus events like the Ice Cream Truck from last semester.

○ Caroline Kriedberg: How much transparency is there for the rest of the student body to understand how this the discretionary fund is used?

○ Kureem Nugent: Discretionary is always passed with information listed on the minutes.

Passes as recommended.

Section 10.B.3: Approval for using this fund requires ⅔ vote of the Central Council.

Passes as recommended.

4. Committee Reports

○ Constitution: Will work on final copy of constitution with all changes to be submitted to the Student body by this week.

○ Cultural Affairs:
  ■ Had a successful conversation with BLSU on Wednesday following the election. Thank you to all the students and faculty that attended.
  ■ Tested a "Walk with Me program" that will be passed on to Health and Safety for further implementation. Thank you to the 53 students who volunteered to walk students home this weekend.
  ■ Hosting an oral history interview training for December 2nd around lunchtime. If you are interested in interviewing members of our community, please email gmak@hamilton.edu

○ DMC:
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- Tuesday, Nov.15th from 7-9 pm in the Events Barn: VCLS will be hosting Alok Vaid-Menon of Dark Matter Poetry
- Wednesday, Nov.16th at 5:30pm in Eells Dining Room: The DMC and Chaplaincy will be hosting Multicultural Thanksgiving
- Thursday, Nov. 17th at 7:30pm in the Events Barn: Rainbow Alliance will be hosting the Julia Weldon Concert

○ Facilities:
  - We are in the process of printing the advertisements for Trac Manager. Once the ads are printed, we will be posting them throughout campus and hopefully on the Jitneys (although we still need approval from the Jitney managers)

○ Food: Meeting with Bon Appetit this Friday at 2:30 in McEwen

○ Health and Safety:
  - Health center meeting next week
  - Make sure Blue Lights are working
  - All campus emails for safety
  - Health center poster telling about STD testing
  - Looking into safety concerns for the march on Tuesday

○ LITS:
  - LITS committee will be sending out a survey about wifi service in dorm rooms. Network Services will follow up on surveys after break.
  - We're creating posters and a video about wifi interference. Aiming for distribution of both after winter break.
  - We're also planning on updating the library video tour. The goal is to have the video ready by the start of the spring semester.

○ Philanthropy:
  - We had a successful study break this Sunday!
  - We will be holding a dumpling sale on Sunday, December 11th in KJ and the library. All proceeds will benefit Haitian Earthquake Relief.

○ Publicity:
  - People should submit stickers for our sticker competition!

○ Social Traditions:
  - We are continuing to finalize details for Citrus Bowl and are beginning to plan next semester's FebFest.

○ Student Affairs:
  - Open hours going well, but not very many people are showing up. We have an hour open every day of the week for open discussion.

5. Funding
Amount Remaining: $8,545.78
ROLLBACKS: HEAG ($125), Duelly Noted ($150), Spoon University ($11.12)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Items/Services Requested</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
<th>Resubmit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEDX</td>
<td>Food and publicity for Ted talk event</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$520</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Assembly</td>
<td>Med Kits, Poster Board, head lamps for “Our Power” March</td>
<td>$202</td>
<td>$202</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount Requested: $700, $202 from Discretionary
Amount Recommended: $520
Amount Remaining: $8,311.90, $4,697 from Discretionary

**TEDX:** We had to lower costs for food to $8 per person. Everything else looks good.

**Student Assembly:** Asking for medkits, poster board, safety equipment for the March tomorrow. The event is very well planned out, and these supplies are integral to the success of this event.

- **Aleta Brown:** We have been very deliberate in making this an organized event. The entire Hamilton community is welcome to participate (faculty, staff, and students). Want to make sure that everyone is safe. The purpose of the march is to show support and protect those most impacted by the already existing explicit rhetoric and actions that this upcoming administration has validated and legitimized. We are walking out at 3:00. We have recruited and trained over 50 Marshals that are students and faculty. We have been in contact with Campus Safety, the Kirkland PD, and the administration. This shows that we support each other in this community because whether this directly/indirectly affects you, your presence there will have an impact, it shows you stand against hate.

6. **Announcements**

- **All:** “Happy birthday to you. Happy birthday to you. Happy birthday dear Jack (Martin ’19). Happy birthday to you!”
- **Gillian Mak and Lizzi Tran:** Please be sure to check in with your friends this week. Remember self care in the midst of outside stress and midterms. Your wellbeing is important to us and the Hamilton Community.
- **Student Assembly President and Vice President Elections are approaching!** Lizzi Tran will be sending out an email tomorrow with more information.
- Assistant Director of Institutional Research and Assessment, Chau-Fang Lin, is looking for students interested in participating in focus groups about wellness. Focus groups will be held on Wednesday, November 16 @ 6pm, OR Tuesday,
November 29 @ 6pm. Dinner from The Grapevine will be available, and you will receive a $5 Opus gift card for participating. If you have any questions, please email wlin@hamilton.edu