1. Call to Order
   ○ Present
   ■ Amanda Kim
   ■ Gianni Hill
   ■ Will Andriola
   ■ Eric Kopp
   ■ Alex Kurtz
   ■ Morgan Perry
   ■ Karthik Ravishankar
   ■ Casey Codd
   ■ Jack Fischman
   ■ Harry Dubke
   ■ Ben Rhind
   ■ Luis Morales
   ■ Jack Carroll
   ■ Laura Rodriguez
   ■ Ines Ayara
   ■ Diana Perez
   ■ Savannah Kelly
   ■ Ishan Mainali
   ■ Aurora Cai
   ■ Caroline Paulson
   ■ Drew Anderson (late)
   ■ Bryce Febres
   ■ Lóri Fejes
   ■ Finlay Adamson
   ■ Keir Adamson
   ■ Nasheley Boursiquot
   ■ Jay Menner
   ■ Orlando Paz
   ■ Minh To
   ○ Excused
   ■ Richard Court

2. Public Comment Period

3. New Business
   ○ Adding items to SA agenda
     ■ Amanda Kim: If you would like to be added to the agenda for anything, just reach out to me or Gianni at sa@hamilton.edu and we’ll throw you on the agenda.
4. Old Business
   ○ Bundy Cafe and Lounge — Dean Martinez
      ■ Dean Martinez: I apologize, I have a cold, so I’m gonna stand back so
      I’m not breathing on you. I want to start out first by talking about the
      strategic plan, which I have mentioned in here. I know President Wippman
      came to talk to you and I hope you take the time to read that. I wanted to
      pull out two segments in particular and talk a little bit more about that.
      Our strategic planning process identified the Hamilton community’s
      interest in developing a residential model that focuses initially on
      first-year students on campus and then expands the programming to
      students in subsequent years. This major initiative would be lead by the
      Division of Student Life and will transform residential staffing, advising,
      programming, revamp the first-year experience, and redefine the use and
      purpose of common spaces, and engage students in increasingly diverse
      communities. It calls for renovating existing residence halls, first to
      establish formal, centrally located gathering spaces, especially in first-year
      residence halls to build community and host programs. Such spaces would
      replicate the reasons for including lounges and common spaces in the
      recently remodeled buildings like Wellin Atrium, Departmental lounges in
      Taylor Science Center, and the KJ commons. I know I’ve come to this
      group on numerous occasions to talk about the neighborhoods concept.
      We talked about the lightside and the darkside, and that there’s food,
      gathering spaces, and academic support. I know I mentioned that down on
      the greyside, or east-side, of campus it was lacking down there. I have
      spoken to students and went and toured the place. I met with the residents
      down there, I did the same in the fall. I heard their need, a real need, for
      gathering spaces for students and a need for them to have some food.
      Given that feedback and conversations I’ve had across campus on
      numerous occasions, we’ve decided that we would pilot this space to look
      at utilizing this space. When we think about addressing social life, I’ve
      had meetings with Bundy students, Student Assembly, my weekly
      meetings with Nadav and Julian. I meet with students that wanted to have
      more non-alcoholic programming that as different than some of the late
      night events that are offered. Last year the external review of fraternity
      and sorority life, when they met with student leaders from Greek-lettered
      organizations, they indicated that our greek lettered organizations, in fact,
      felt responsible for the social life on campus. I’ve meet with other students
      that feel that these organizations are not an option for them. They feel that
      there isn’t a place for them. I talked with last year’s Student Life
      Committee and met with this year’s food service rep. at these meetings. I
      met with RA’s during the training and I took a look at the utilization rates.
      I read your minutes from last week and I was actually kind of surprised to
read them, because to say I didn’t share this information or talk with students about the use of Bundy as a social space is wholly inaccurate. I think that you bemoan this decision because you didn’t make it and that’s not true. I am the one responsible for these spaces, much like how I was responsible for making the decisions based on student input and feedback for the changes that happened at the dining halls, like the continuous swipes, and the Diner, and all of those options. I am ultimately responsible for that, and I don’t take those responsibilities lightly. I always take into account student interest, student feedback, and what I believe is in the right interest for the overall student experience.

Harry Dubke: First of all, thank you so much for coming in. I don’t think anyone here is trying to go against anything you’ve done with Bundy Dining Hall, in terms of turning it into a beautiful space where people can go and get some coffee, and get some food late at night. I personally lived on the greyside for two years, so half of my Hamilton experience and it was always a trek up the hill, especially in the winter time. I can definitely empathize with the students living down there. I don’t think where the minutes, they might have been a bit misleading, I don’t think any of us are complaining about the physical use of Bundy Dining Hall. The reason we asked you to come in and visit with us today and talk about your decision making process, is more a question of transparency. I know part of your big push, I was actually at the meeting where you were interviewed before you were hired, and I really liked what you said about interacting with students and getting their feedback. Later on, Student Assembly pushed and eventually drafted a resolution to call for some of the working groups, like the alcohol group. It was very surprising to me when we talked last week with members of the alcohol group that were in here, who said they said they never heard your intent to remove Bundy from the social space lottery from the areas listed under the social space lottery for events for alcohol. I understand that drinking culture on campus is a big problem, but to me it seems pretty ridiculous that the alcohol working group wouldn’t catch wind of that. I know you’ve came and talked to Student Assembly multiple times about what you were going to do about Bundy Dining Hall. Never once did you mention that it would be removed from the social space lottery or events hosted with alcohol. I understand your reasoning for that and I understand the points that you’ve laid down. We’ve also gone over the data that was included in your article you say that: based off these data, and the input we received from students, we’re confident that we can provide an attractive cafe option. The data was collected only from last semester, not series of semesters.

- Dean Martinez: I actually have information going back ten years.
- Harry Dubke: Really?
Dean Martinez: I shared that information in this room on a PowerPoint on the screen last year. I’m happy to provide you with it.

Harry Dubke: That would be fantastic.

Dean Martinez: Harry, I really do take a look at data. I don’t just pull things that are most convenient for me and when I took a look at the data and utilization. Yes, it’s no secret that I’m concerned about alcohol. You know when I take a look at that space as a space for alcohol, 24% of students at the beginning of any year are eligible to drink alcohol. When I take a look at the impact of that space on students I have to say, and I truly do believe that space is for us to enhance the Bundy experience. All the evidence points to it.

Harry Dubke: I do agree there is a dangerous drinking culture here. What I’m confused by is why renovating Bundy Dining Hall requires the removal of it from social spaces, especially because it reduces the amount of locations where students can drink somewhat safely on campus under the supervision of trained peers. Secondly, although you’ve claimed you have talked to students about this, why have you never brought it up to the alcohol working group? Why have you never brought it up to Student Assembly, why have you never brought it up as a removal as a social space for any larger group on campus?

Dean Martinez: To be honest, I wasn’t sure I was going to do this in January. We had some other conversations with Facilities, who I had dropped this concept to as well as the Trustees. Before I did large funding of it, we were able to take some renovation money this year and do this, which is why I called it a pilot. If utilization is not good, we’re not getting good feedback, then I have not invested an incredible amount of money. Those cabinets that are in there are repurposed from other areas on the school, the laminate tops are new, obviously some painting and some rugs were put down. That furniture was already purchased for another space on campus and it was just redirected to there. I thought it was a great opportunity to respond to what I was hearing from students down the hill.

Harry Dubke: But again, to respond to my question, why was it removed from the social lottery and why didn’t you tell people that?

Dean Martinez: It was removed from the social lottery because I didn’t want that space to be trashed. The students down in that space wanted to have a nice space, like there are other spaces on campus.

Harry Dubke: Why didn’t you tell the student body?
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- **Dean Martinez**: I didn’t tell the student body because you weren’t here and I sent you an email in January when that decision was made.

- **Harry Dubke**: I think my big problem with this was that the decision was seemed to be made unilaterally, without consulting the student body.

- **Dean Martinez**: It wasn’t made unilaterally, the use of that space was made with student input. You didn’t like that I took away the social space and I get that, and I understand that. I think the alcohol working strategy group should really have conversations about social spaces.

- **Harry Dubke**: Why do members of the group say you never told them that it was being removed as a social space?

- **Dean Martinez**: I didn’t make that decision until January.

- **Harry Dubke**: I understand that, but to me it still seems problematic that the student body on campus was not consulted as a whole on the decision. Again, I think it’s great what you did with Bundy Dining Hall, but the lack of transparency with the administration and especially the Dean of Students Office has been something we’ve been trying to address in the past with these various working committees. That, to me, represents a cultural problem in the Dean of Students office.

- **Dean Martinez**: I disagree with you, but I think we can respectfully disagree with that. I’m looking for ways to talk about how we can reduce alcohol on campus, make it safe, and find some other places to do that.

- **Harry Dubke**: I agree, I hope we can talk about that.

- **Karthik Ravishankar**: Last semester, Dean Martinez and I spoke about this. She mentioned that it was just a pilot program to explore the different options. My only question is that, I believe we discussed whether the space would be convertible, a cafe during the day and social space at night. Is that still a possibility?

- **Dean Martinez**: We can talk about that. We just started it, it’s been five days in, let’s talk about that. I don’t want that space wrecked. From student accounts, Bundy was a pretty nasty space and it was trashed because it was trashy. I don’t think we should have any spaces that are trashy on campus. I’m sorry, but you all deserve better than that. I’m happy to revisit and we can pilot one or two alcoholic functions in there, but I’m not going to trash that space. We can do a Bundy Night, or alcohol night. I’m happy piloting that so we can make decisions going forward, but I’m not going to have that space trashed again.

- **Dean Martinez**: Hi, have we met?
Jay Menner: I met you with Ms. Breland, in the summer for HEOP. If the intent of Bundy Cafe, I’ve been to it, it’s really nice, is to build the Bundy experience, I feel that it’s kinda important to have both sides of it. So on weekends it should still be an option for a social space, while also being a cafe during the weekday. We’re all pretty much adults here, we’re responsible people, if you were to say to students “If you want Bundy to be a clean space, it’s your responsibility to keep it clean. We’re not going to pay for it to be constantly renovated.” I feel as though as removing a social space, you are only catering to one half of the student population.

Dean Martinez: Jay, I’m going to disagree with you because it is a social space, without alcohol. I disagree with you that everyone in this room or on this campus behaves like adults. I get those weekend reports and there are hospitalizations every single week because people make poor decisions with alcohol. While perhaps a majority of the students behave appropriately on this campus, we can’t say that across the board.

Jay Menner: I agree with that, but I’m trying to say, is it’s one of those things where you can grant people the privilege, but if they don’t respect it then it’s something that can be taken away. I feel as students should be given the opportunity. Yes, I mean it’s a social space, but you’re only catering to the people that don’t want alcohol in those spaces.

Dean Martinez: When we take a look at the numbers, there is only a certain percentage of the student population who can legally utilize that space as an alcohol space.

Jay Menner: I think it should be able to be catered to the entire student population. Even if it is 24% of the student population, even if those numbers increase, I feel like it would just be better if everyone feels like they could use that space in an effective manner.

Dean Martinez: I don’t disagree with that.

Amanda Kim: I have a question about moving forward. I do agree that Bundy Cafe was necessary, greysiders definitely need a place to eat. We spoke to a lot of greysiders who were visiting on Friday and they had really positive things to report about how it really improved their experience living on the greyside. With that being said, I think the concern about social spaces is valid. I was wondering if it would be possible to keep Bundy Cafe as it is and replace it with another social space on campus.

Dean Martinez: We can talk about that.

Ben Rhind: I’m extremely jealous that all the people are going to experience it. I really wish that was there when I was in Bundy, that’s what I’ve heard from everyone who previously lived in Bundy. The one
thing that concerned me that was brought up last week was the use of data in this conversation. I appreciate that data approach that you’ve taken, as Amanda and Gianni were saying in their meeting with President Wippman, with the explanation he gave, was citing a statistic that ever on a weekend that all three social space with alcohol have actually been booked at the same time. Noelle refuted that with by saying multiple times last semester, that wasn’t the case. I agree we should be using data for our conversations, but I don’t know where that statistic came from.

- **Dean Martinez**: I take responsibility because when I was looking at the information about Bundy, I was looking at when it was utilized last semester and I think I shared that information. It was available twenty nine times, of those it was booked ten times and it was only used eight times. When I was sharing this with the President and information about making a decision about that, I said to him I don’t even think all three spaces are ever used at the same time. I went back to confirm that and I think he had met with folks before I was able to go back. I just want to say, one: the President doesn’t know details like that, nor should he. He didn’t try to intentionally mislead anyone or misrepresent. It was about the timing in which I told him.

- **Caroline Paulson**: I think the biggest concern is that there are so many space on campus that are social spaces that are available for use without alcohol. There are three in total for use with alcohol and then taking one of those away, especially if that is a preferred space that would be very concerning to me.

- **Dean Martinez**: We can debate that perhaps upon the utilization numbers and we can also take a look at where students would prefer to hang out and how we could do that. I think you will recall conversations from the beginning of last year with residents down the hill and conversations we had with them about social spaces, and how we work with to think of their space as a living room space. I’ve said it on more than one occasion, “How can we replicate that on campus where students feel like it’s their living room?”

- **Caroline Paulson**: I know at the beginning of the year there was some movement to improve the Bundy basement common room situation. It kinda fell off, they put a couch in there, but they didn’t go much farther than that. It seems like if you’re trying to add a social space without alcohol, it could’ve been done in the basement.

- **Dean Martinez**: We wouldn’t have been able to provide food to the students down there and that was really important for me, especially when I was hearing students about their weekend eating
habits. That was a real concern for me. That doesn’t mean my eyes off that. It just means I have to take a look where it falls in my priorities. I have a whole long list for Bundy, everything from the lighting in the room, how dark those rooms are, washing machines, vending machines and I’m making my way through that list.

- **Casey Codd**: Since the greyside now has an option for eating on the weekend, are there any plans to keep McEwen open on the weekends?
  - **Dean Martinez**: That has been part of the conversation in how do we balance all three neighborhoods in a way that doesn’t then increase the cost per student. I was just sharing with Amanda, if we move with Bundy permanently, I don’t know if we’re going to be able to do a grab and go in Commons. I also know there are concerns about the seats it would take away. We’re trying to do it in a way that is the most cost efficient. It’s certainly under consideration.

- **Jay Menner**: First is there anything we can do to improve lighting on campus because it’s kind of scary to be honest, sketchy really. The second thing is, for students that live down the hill, the sidewalks can be especially icy and I don’t think that’s something that is properly taken care of and especially since the lighting situation is so bad, it’s easy for students to slip and get hurt. Those are two important things that need to be taken care of since we are renovating that space.
  - **Dean Martinez**: I’ve had that conversation with Roger Wakeman. He oversees Facilities Management. They’re taking a look at parking, paths, and lighting on campus. I don’t know what the priorities are, but it is on their list

- **Mass Email Update**
  - **Amanda Kim**: If you were on the assembly last semester, you remember that there was a mass email working group that came in to speak with us. Basically behind that working group is that a lot of people are getting inundated by a lot of emails from organizations. Their goal was to declutter people’s inboxes, because a lot of those emails you don’t want to be receiving. Minh is going to talk about the conclusions and recommendations they are putting forth about new policies. Keep in mind that these new policies won’t go into effect this semester, but most likely in the fall when we come back next year.
  - **Minh To**: On this sheet that is being passed around are the proposed recommendations. Number one: *All Student organizations must post to the listservs with their club email address, not through a personal student email.* I think this is a problem that we all can think of right now is that students have access to EVENTS-ALL. The reason for this was to streamline emails. In case where students want to filter email, they can
filter organization but not their peers. Number two: *Students organizations, with exception of Student Assembly, will not have access to sending notices-all. If a student/organization feels strongly about posting to notices-all, they may post to exceptions@hamilton.edu for approval.* Most emails sent to this are mistakes. The emails don’t pertain to this body, so taking away this access won’t affect most student organizations. If you feel very strongly about sending email to the entirety of the school and there’s a real person who will read it. Number three: *For events open to the campus, student organizations should submit their event to 25live for the daily event email, with a description for the calendar.* LITS is trying to retool the daily email you all getting at 7 a.m. The idea that all organizations will submit their events on 25live, so that every event will be compiled into one email that will be sent at the beginning of the day. They will be chronological and put into categories. For examples, we have culturally events, like the language tables will be in one place, as well as spiritual and athletics events. The idea is that we are trying to categorize these so you don’t get multiple emails from these different groups.

- **Gianni Hill:** When organizations put in a description for their event for the space they book it in, they’ll put it on there. You can go on there and learn more information.
- **Amanda Kim:** This would be automatically populated, so if you book a space on 25live, then automatically it will show up on this email on this day. This would probably be going at the same time that they would be sending the daily emails.
- **Minh To:** The last policy change would be: *Student organizations will have access to class20xx@listserv.hamilton.edu, and events-students@listserv.hamilton.edu, and notices-students@listserv.hamilton.edu.* It’s important to distinguish events and notices for clubs. If you’re posting about something that is happening, send it to events.
  - **Gianni Hill:** To give you guys an example, Gianni Hill cannot personally send out an email for the Micro-Finance club. It would come have to come from the club’s email. It could go to EVENTS-ALL, but not NOTICES-ALL and that’s the difference. In an effort to reduce the amount of emails faculty and staff are receiving, just student org. stuff, it’s just going to go to EVENTS-STUDENTS instead. You’ll be getting emails directly from the club.
- **Harry Dubke:** If you send something to EVENTS-STUDENTS, like rugby match today, would that end up going to the entire student body?
  - **Gianni Hill:** It would have to come from the Men’s Rugby email and then it could be sent out to all students. Harry Dubke couldn’t personally send out that email.
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- **Finlay Adamson**: Will organizations still be able to send out an email with the time and date of their weekly meeting to everybody, or is this what the daily newsletter trying to prevent?
  - **Amanda Kim**: My understanding the idea is you wouldn’t have to get these weekly club emails and hopefully the club would be booking them on 25live and getting them through here. If clubs are still going to be sending them out and you aren’t interested in the attending the meeting, the reason we have the people sending through the organization email is so you will not receive anymore emails from that organization. You’re not blocking them, you’re just blocking the organization.

- **Finlay Adamson**: In the future will it be possible to limit the amount of notices that they can send out? When we get the same weekly notices, I think it would be better if we just sent out a club list to those who want to.
  - **Minh To**: The new idea with the daily email is you shouldn’t have to send it to the whole student body. We hope that will clubs will send it to their listservs.
  - **Amanda Kim**: The events listserv is really just for big events, not like “Men’s rugby is having their weekly meeting – I don’t know if you guys have weekly meetings. If there was a big event you were hosting, then it would go out through EVENTS-STUDENTS.

- **Ben Rhind**: My only concern with this policy being essential a suggestion, is it that people not really following it. Everyone agrees we get to many emails, but want to promote their clubs at the same time. Saying now clubs can just use this daily schedule, rather than putting some harder ban on weekly club updates, is never going to do anything.
  - **Amanda Kim**: They’re called suggestions for this semester because they can’t implement them right now. Next semester it will be a hard rule. It’s not just going to be a suggestion. This semester it’s still a recommendation because they haven’t officially passed it yet.
  - **Ben Rhind**: Can I just suggest maybe for the first month or so this semester allowing for clubs to promote themselves. That’s my thoughts on the matter.
    - **Gianni Hill**: Can you clarify a little bit?
    - **Ben Rhind**: Like allowing a weekly email at the beginning of the semester. People might be coming in and aren’t sure what clubs they should be part of. Then half-way through the semester, people aren’t typically joining a club maybe we can set some hard deadline to say “Now you can only put it on the calendar.”
    - **Amanda Kim**: That’s a great suggestion. We can pass it along to the working group.
Jay Menner: So you said EVENTS-STUDENTS will only be for big events. My question is what if clubs think their event is going to be really big. There’s nothing stopping them from sending out emails. Why can’t we do the same thing in sending NOTICES-ALL to get approval. That way you know it’s a big event instead of it being a loophole for them to create more emails.

- Gianni Hill: I think part of the way we hope to address this is to say you can only send emails from club emails. Right now, there is a significant number of students from Hamilton that have access to those listservs for the entire campus and that’s part of the problem. By forcing clubs to only send emails through club emails, they’re not going to give access to all thirty members to have access. If there are stuff you really don’t messages for, there are some tips on the sheet for how to go about blocking the emails. You can just block receiving emails from clubs or organizations that you want nothing to do with.

Savannah Kelly: Will students that have access to the listserv, would they be stripped when this passes in the fall?

- Gianni Hill: Yes. All the listservs will be updated. The only organization that can send NOTICES-ALL will be Student Assembly. Other than that, student orgs can only send emails to EVENTS-STUDENTS.

- Savannah Kelly: Follow-up. Suppose an organization, they like understand this and start abusing the email policy, what are the consequences for that starting in the fall?

  - Amanda Kim: I’m not sure what the consequences would be. Right now there is a rule that isn’t really strictly enforced, but you’re only allowed to send two emails to a listserv per event. I know that the consequence for that is that you get removed from having access to sending the email. I would imagine the same consequence would be there, but just enforced.

Lori Fejes: I’m trying to ask how would these be with the Career Center emails and the departmental emails?

- Gianni Hill: Those are different because they’re not student organizations. This pertains to organizations that we fund, or that are eligible for funding through SA. Career Center has their own way of accessing the listserve, so I do not believe they would be included in that.

  - Karthik Ravishankar: As a representative of the Career Center, we want to make sure you don’t feel like you’re getting inundated with emails. If you do have some suggestions please let us know.
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- **Savannah Kelly:** You said that it’s pertaining to student organizations that are eligible for funding and who do fund, but I know there are some student organizations that are not eligible for funding as the semester goes like, like the Buffers. In that event, can they spam us?
  - **Gianni Hill:** No I just meant if they qualify for funding. I should’ve been more clear. Anyone that would have to go through us for funding or approved by Student Activities.

- **Keir Adamson:** I really like the the part about blocking student organizations. Is there a way this could be emailed out to the student body?
  - **Minh To:** LITS was talking about maybe holding workshops. We’re not sure about the timing yet.
  - **Amanda Kim:** We are planning on sending these documents out along with the minutes for this meeting.

- **Casey Codd:** There are third parties sites you can go through, one’s called unroll.me. I highly recommend. I use it on my Hamilton email and essentially it takes every email I get from a listserv and puts it one email that you get once a day. Protip.
  - **Amanda Kim:** Okay, game changer. Did you get that?
  - **Eric Kopp:** Wait, what?
  - **Gianni Hill:** Unroll.
  - **Eric Kopp:** .Me?
  - **Amanda Kim:** Yes, .Me.

5. **Acknowledgments**

  - **Kudos**
    - **Everyone who attended Posse Plus Retreat. It was a really great experience. Thank you to the Posse Scholars who invited everyone and all the Plusers that attended!**
      - **Ishan Mainali:** We talked a lot about stuff we wouldn’t necessarily talk about on campus. I don’t know how we can do this, but it’s possible to make sure those changes we discussed are implemented.
      - **Natalie Rodriguez ‘22:** There were really good spaces for people can be themselves and I think this could benefit in having these events, like PPR, where we can really respond to typical conversations that are controversial, that aren’t always constructive.
      - **Gianni Hill:** One of the working groups we had was working on apathy on campus. I kinda facilitated a conversation about that. One of the things we talked about is a revamped town hall. There is a lot a student interest in having a forum like that. We are
thinking about it, we are going to be talking about it, once we deal with funding and get over this big bump next week, with the Assembly.

- **Aurora Cai**: The reason why this PPR was so successful, and enjoyable, was because it provided a safe space for people to talk about controversial issues and for people to share about their own experience. I think we should work on our campus to facilitate this kind of space.

- **Eric Kopp**: It was an honor to go to that, because sometimes it’s hard to realize what so many people of color on this campus actually feel and how they feel that they don’t belong here. That’s honestly disgusting. I think we all as a body, and students, have a responsibility to make sure that they feel they have a place here, because they do.

- **Luis Morales**: There are a lot of intersectional issues beyond race and culture that don’t get discussed in our cultural groups. I did recommend that BLSU was going to implement more workshops on LGBTQ issues, just because that is an issue that is intersectional among the Latinx community. It’s not discussed often, so we want to make sure that it doesn’t go overlooked in the future and that all members of BLSU feel welcomed and the issues pertinent to them are being discussed, represented, and talked about so we can grow as a community.

- **Diana Perez**: What I heard from a lot of people that went to PPR was the idea of implementing a safe space during orientation, for incoming classes, so that all this is discussed among a safe group of people with facilitators that could possibly be trained, before students even step foot on campus.

- **Aurora Cai**: Adding on to Luis’ pont, a lot of people at PPR is the interacting between the multiple cultural groups. For example, BLSU and SA, and SA with all these other cultural groups on campus. It would be better if they had more interaction between each other and creating a more understanding space for different cultures and racial groups.

- **Gianni Hill**: We would love to see more of that. If you are part of groups on campus, try and collaborate. Pull your money together and you can have a bigger event with better turnout, more people there. It would be great for a lot of reasons.

- **Luis Morales**: Just a quick plug for the Student Diversity Council exists for this reason. Any club or organization that receives funding from SA is required to come to these meetings just to promote more collaboration between clubs. That’s something we should discuss more in the future.
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- **Ishan Mainali**: Last year the Social Innovation Team talked about and helped with the town hall. We were talking about this in our last meeting, we definitely have some initiative to do that again. We’d be happy to collaborate with SA.

- **Natalie Rodriguez ’22**: I just wanted to agree with what Diana said about definitely doing these conversations during orientation because it instigates the idea to freshman that they are accepted to campus and don’t have to feel a certain way of exclusion because of whatever group they’re part of. I think it will help build that sense of belonging to campus by already doing that in the beginning.

6. **Committees**
   - **Food Update**
     - **Amanda Kim**: A lot of students have been asking last week about the ice cream in commons being missing and also the good granola in McEwen not being there. We talked to Bon Appetit, they should be putting the ice cream back this week.
       - **Gianni Hill**: The freezer is on backorder, so that’s the only reason that they haven’t had it back yet. The other one broke and they had to order a new one. It’s coming.
     - **Amanda Kim**: The granola in McEwen, I haven’t checked that yet, but they said it should be back this week.
     - **Jack Carroll**: I talked to Jack, the pasta guy, who said they’ve done pesto for a while. I really like pesto with pasta and just wanted to throw that out there.
       - **Amanda Kim**: Just because the Community Affairs Committee wasn’t set up yet, Gianni and I reached out. So for future suggestions with food, please reach out to the Community Affairs committee.
       - **Gianni Hill**: Karthik and Richard are the Co-chairs of Community Affairs, so pesto will be the first thing they reach out about. Derek Roy was very eager to set up a meeting with us, so as soon as you guys are ready you can set that up.

   - **Meeting Times**
     - **Amanda Kim**: If you haven’t added your phone number into the document, make sure you do so your Co-chairs can contact you.
     - **Gianni Hill**: We will also make a large GroupMe chat for the whole SA.
7. Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Items/Services Requested</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
<th>Resubmit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowling Club</td>
<td>One trip to bowling alley</td>
<td>$1007.76</td>
<td>$1007.76</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAAC</td>
<td>Pizza for game of week</td>
<td>$540</td>
<td>$540</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mock Trial</td>
<td>Funding for mileage to tournament</td>
<td>$162.40</td>
<td>$150.80</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dually Noted</td>
<td>Bonding trip</td>
<td>$301.52</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicity</td>
<td>Posters/flyers for logo competition</td>
<td>$22.75</td>
<td>$22.75</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount Requested: $2034.43
Amount Recommended: $1698.56

We are still calculating the full budget for this semester and processing rollbacks. We will have an updated total for next week!

- Bowling Club - Funding Passes as Recommended
  - Will Andriola: They’re requesting one trip to start off the year to a local bowling alley. It was a pretty good budget, they negotiated with the bowling place directly and they reached a lot of students. It’s really a relatively low cost per student.

- SAAC - Funding Passes as Recommended
  - Will Andriola: They’re requesting funding for multiple pizzas for weekly games throughout the semester. This encourages students to go to games.

- Mock Trial - Funding Passes as Recommended
  - Will Andriola: Here we’re recommending a little bit less than requested just because they didn’t include a map of how far they were going and the mileage I found was smaller than what they put in.
  - Karthik Ravishankar: Last semester they took advanced funding for a large budget. Is that only for competitions, because they keep coming back. I’m just wondering if mileage was included in the previous budget.
Will Andriola: They did not request advanced funding. MUN did, but not Mock Trial.

- Duelly Noted - Funding Passes as Recommended
  - Will Andriola: They’re requesting money for a bonding trip, like a wilderness retreat. We’re recommending $0 for this because we don’t think that Student Assembly should essentially be funding a vacations for organizations. If they really want to fund it they can do it for themselves because it doesn’t really have a connection to the purpose of their club. They tried to make it, but it doesn’t.
  - Jack Fischman: I find it interesting that we give so much money to Mock Trial and Model UN together and I figured that a trip for a group that works pretty hard seems pretty trivial to me when we give such a large amount of money to other students.
  - Will Andriola: It is a relatively small amount of money in regards to the budget, but we think that funding what is literally just a vacation for a student organization, that’s not really connected to the organization itself or its purpose aside from people trying to get to know each other better. Mock Trial and MUN do request a lot of money, but when they do request money for these trips they are going to compete off campus.
  - Amanda Kim: If they were going to perform at an acapella concert then I think the amount recommended would be different. The idea was that it was just a fun trip they were taking together, they can do bonding on campus and not spend this money.
  - Savannah Kelly: They did have a retreat last semester as well. We did fund this. I don’t think a duplicate process would be necessary for that. I think we should revisit the funding codes for that.

- Publicity - Funding Passes as Recommended
  - Will Andriola: This is for Morgan’s logo competition, for the new SA logo.
    - Morgan Perry: It would be for a member of posters and table flyers that would be from the print shop on campus. It’s a pretty cheap amount in my opinion. It would be so great if you could fund it!
    - Harry Dubke: We should get one person from each class to post a link to it in their Facebook groups.
    - Amanda Kim: Thank you to the people who submitted. Oh- it’s not being live streamed.
    - Gianni Hill: They’ll see it in the minutes.
    - Amanda Kim: To the people who haven’t, please submit logos.
      - Morgan Perry: You’ll get $50 if your design gets picked. It would take two minutes.
8. Announcements

○ Funding meeting is in one week (2/11).
  ■ Strategic budgets must be submitted using this link by Saturday, February 9th at noon sharp (No exceptions!). Email satreas@hamilton.edu if you have any questions.

○ Student Assembly is looking for a new logo and we want your help!
  ■ If you have a vision, design a new logo and email it to us before February 15. We'll add $50 to your Hill Card account if your logo is selected!
  ■ See these guidelines regarding our colors, seal, and other fun Hamilton things: https://www.hamilton.edu/styleguides/graphicidentity

○ Dean Martinez Open Hours in Sadove Sunporch
  ■ Monday (2/11) and Monday (3/4) from 7:00-8:00pm

○ FebFest 2019 is coming up!
  ■ February 12th-16th
  ■ Lots of fun prizes and events including winter hats, ice scrapers, chili cookoff, ice sculpture