1. Call to Order
   ● Attendance
     ■ Present
       ● Jon Stanhope
       ● Gillian Mak
       ● Ramisa Tasnim
       ● Nani Suzuki
       ● Jake Engelman
       ● Max Kohn
       ● Lilly Pieper
       ● Kureem Nugent
       ● Zach Oscar
       ● Ysabel Cross
       ● Jonathan Kirshenbaum
       ● Casey Codd
       ● Molly Clark
       ● Jack Fischman
       ● Max Phillips
       ● Harry Dubke
       ● Cesar Domenech
       ● Ben Katz
       ● Jodan D’Addio
       ● Nadav Konforty
       ● Keith Ruggles
       ● Gianni Hill
       ● Elizabeth Groubert
       ● Amanda Kim
       ● Jiin Jeong
       ● Maria Valencia
       ● Diana Perez
       ● Eseosa Asiruwa
     ■ Excused
       ● Julian Perricone
       ● Noam Barnhard
     ■ Absent
       ● Marquis Palmer
       ● Jack Fischman

2. Public Comment Period
   ● Landis Hagerty: Most of you have heard what I had said last week. I heard the concerns expressed by the RAs, and I do not want to shame individual administrators here. There has been a lot of discussion about this and I want to hopefully spark a conversation within this body about the issues we had and what they reflect in terms of broader problems. I
know that when I came in as a freshmen, there had always been this thought that the administration doesn't care about student opinions. This felt like the same old story of students conflicting with administrators, but I now genuinely believe there are some problems that are deep set here. Regardless of whether students ought to have a voice in any other issues, the subject of residential life should be shaped by current students. Ultimately we are the people they are serving, we are the people who live in the residence halls -- which is required to attend Hamilton. On this subject, it is important that student voices are heard.

- The Co-Op has been the subject of an intensive administrative crack down, a crackdown which illustrates the problems which many students have identified with the broader stances of residential life. Last year we at the Co-Op put on a variety of campus events. We had a number of speakers, performers, and art-based events. All were agreed upon by all residents, none ever received a noise complaint, resulted in student injury or an EMT response, none were responded to by campus safety, and none served any liquor. But because they heard events were happening there, without any evidence of harm, we have had our basement common space locked and taken away. The most recent issue has been with the party we hosted the second week of campus where there was again no liquor served, no occupancy limit posted, no tangible rule broken. It led to the threat to fire any RAs who attended, and has led to a number of other threats, including charging students who move furniture temporarily out of the room with theft through the judicial board. The furniture was gone for less than 24 hours and all back in perfect condition when administrators checked the next morning. There is a prosecutorial attitude here, students have been treated as problems rather than the people who live in, give life to, and populate these spaces. Policy is wielded as a tool for bringing students into line when convenient -- such as the use of the theft policy for a purpose clearly outside its intention.

- On the other hand, there are other policies which are not utilized because they don’t fit with the current goals. For example, did you know in the handbook it says students in non-freshmen dorms can vote on quiet hours at the beginning of the year? I have yet to see that happen. Students can also use fireplaces in residence halls with the permission of the RAs, but have you ever seen anyone sitting around a fire? I have heard that there was a folder of pictures of students in a party, presented to RA’s trying to figure out who was responsible and targeting individuals. That is not the attitude we want from people in positions of authority. Beyond my personal stake in this as a Co-Oper, as a student of Hamilton this is not how it should be.

- I would like to ask you all to have a voice in this matter, it has been discussed extensively around campus, there were student articles in last week’s Spectator, and general wide publicity. If SA represents the student body’s interests, their voice should be heard. There
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will always be conservative administrative arguments which try to eliminate any chance for something to go wrong, but these lead to crackdown on things that are not problems. When you crack down on a group who are not single gender, who are coordinating with everyone who shares in the spaces, and who have no track record of demonstrable harm, there is a problem. We have been told that this is only a place to live, and that's all. We were going to hold a benefit concert and send the money to victims of the recent hurricanes, but we were told that anything resembling a band is not allowed. This attitude forces people off campus and limits options for those who want to do things at night. When students have to seek off campus options, this also turns this into a class issue. Not everyone can afford to pay for bars every weekend or be a member of a frat or sorority with an off campus space. Forcing people off campus is also contrary to the stated goal of safety. I believe having a student voice on residential life on campus is important and would like to open up a discussion in this body which students elect to represent us.

- **Harry Dubke**: What do you think about inviting some members of the administration to hear your opinions?
  - **Landis Hagerty**: I think that's a great idea. I am meeting with Travis Hill this week and to his credit he has agreed to listen to our concerns. I don’t want to create the impression they’ve been absolutely stonewalling. I just think SA discussing this and coming up with ideas of improvement so that students feel like they have a say about their campus’s policies is an important part of this process.

- **Mark Parker-Magyar ‘15**: I’m an alum, class of 2015 and I wanted to weigh in while I was on campus. The reason I am here because I was elected to SA through a write-in campaign on a platform which sought to address our problems with ResLife at the time. This situation now is so screwed up, it is crazy. It's part of a pattern of disrespect of student voices. This is affecting COOP now but it affects one group after another. When your group gets something taken away, no one stands up with you. This is the time to stand up. This situation with the email is a travesty beyond what we experienced in my time. Hamilton does not do a good job of fostering student voices. Push for oversight. It is not cool to have authority figures making decisions about where you live,

- **Jiin Jeong**: I agreed with your opinion that Hamilton should be a home. Did the RA’s discuss any possible situations?
  - **Landis Hagerty**: I am not an RA, and can't speak to what they discussed in their meeting. I have certainly not heard it addressed publicly. I think that this does breach beyond current RAs. People will decide not to be an RA or quit if they feel they are being asked to police other students and monitor their behavior to an extent that is unreasonable. I think the demands put upon the RA’s is a general student issue.
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- **Max Phillips:** I think this is an ongoing conversation. Is there any way to bring any administrator here?
  - **Landis Hagerty:** I will update you all on the conversation I have with Travis Hill on Thursday and can extend an invitation to come to the next meeting.
  - **Gillian Mak:** Yes, Jon and I will follow up and try to get someone in here next week.
  - **Cesar Domenech:** Which committee would be closest to this?
  - **Gillian Mak:** Student Affairs

**Old Business**

- **Update on Menstrual Hygiene Initiative, Nadav Konforty ‘20:**
  - **Nadav Konforty:** Hello, everyone. Since everyone came back on campus, the Class of 2020, specifically myself and Cesar, have worked tirelessly on the Menstrual Hygiene Initiative (MHI) and ensuring that all restrooms on the first floor of all non-residential buildings have free menstrual hygiene products. It was the intention of the Class of 2020 delegation to show to the administration that this program is something that is important to the student body and is something that should be funded by the administration. Moreover, this past week, students who volunteered their time to the initiative set up have worked hard to install the initiative throughout the campus.

- As of today, it has come to our attention that in some of the men’s restrooms in the Science Center, the posters for the initiative, the containers and the products themselves had all been ripped off the wall and off the counter and thrown out.

- Now this raises a larger issue. It is about whether all of our individuals on campus have a space for basic human needs. Even though these are negative circumstances, we have gotten lots of positive feedback from people who use the women’s restroom and the non-gendered restrooms.

- This is about whether trans people are allowed to exist on campus. There are men that use these products and they should not have to be confined to the non-gendered bathrooms because others are uncomfortable. We want to let everyone in our community know that they will be supported by their Student Assembly, especially in their basic bodily functions.

- At the counsel of Gillian, part of what we want to do is send out all campus email of what we are doing, so that the whole campus knows what is going on. Just as well, if this initiative and the people who helped implement it are not respected, then it simply won’t continue. Frankly, the continuity of the initiative is
contingent on people not being assholes. So we will see what happens. But we really want for this initiative to continue.

- **Jonathan Kirshenbaum**: I saw these in the Pub, and I’m so glad this has been implemented!
  - **Cesar Domenech**: We will also be having a meeting with Dean Martinez about the administration taking over and funding this initiative.

**Update on Class Projects**

- **Cesar Domenech ‘20**: Our plan is to use the Sadove terrace, to have an international fair in the spring. People can represent different countries and have little booths with music and good food.
- **Jonathan Kirshenbaum ‘19**: The Class of 2018 and 2019. We will work to revive a silent disco, sometime this semester.
- **Zach Oscar ‘18**: The class of 2018 will be planning something in addition to the Silent Disco.
- **Gianni Hill ‘21**: We definitely want to take on a project that entails the diversity of the class and mental health. Not sure what we are doing yet but will brainstorm.
  - **Elizabeth Groubert**: Diana had the idea of working with Shenandoah Kirkland initiative. We also might be working with that.

**New Business**

- **Explanation of SMART and SMART Ambassador Program**
- **Judicial Board Nominees**
  - **The Judicial board nominees**
    - Lauren Getman '18
    - Noam Barnhard '18
    - Gabrielle Troya '18
    - Chris Browne ‘20
    - Elizabeth Foot '20
    - Carly O'Hern '20

  *Judicial Board nominees are confirmed unanimously.*

**Trustee Committee Assignments**

- **Gillian Mak**: For those who are new this year, every year, the trustee committees meet on campus with their student reps. There are a lot of spots but not spots for everyone. I encourage everyone who wants to participate to volunteer. Trustees like speaking with the students. We would like people to volunteer and actually talk at these meetings. This is a way to have our voice heard and something that many students might not get the
chance to do during their time at Hamilton. The role of the trustees is often misunderstood, it is important that we are able to understand what they can and cannot do going into these meetings. It’s also important to note that many trustees keep up with campus life and all of them devote this time because they really care about the school. The names of these committees are: Admissions, Development, Budgeting and Finance, Planning, Buildings, Grounds, and Equipment, and Student Affairs. The first meeting will be in October.

**Funding Code Working Group Assignments**

- **Gillian Mak:** We also feel the need to create two working groups to work on clubs and funding. These groups will be chaired by a member on the Assembly and work with Student Activities to create proposals for the Assembly to implement before Jon and my term ends. We want members of these groups to meet with organization leaders, communicate with their classes, and be well informed about the problems and solutions they are dealing with. In addition, we would like them to do research on how other schools handle these processes. Ideally, we would like to have proposals from the working groups in hand before we leave for Thanksgiving Break. The first one will be looking at our Funding Codes.

- **Jon Stanhope:** I was on the working group that reviewed the funding codes last fall. It was the class treasurers, Kureem, and myself. We went through line by line and reviewed each funding code (found in the back of the SA constitution) and rewrote a ton of stuff. However, we did all of this with the mindset that we wanted to preserve the basic structure on the funding codes (strategic vs. non-strategic, etc). It’s come time now though that perhaps we should reconsider whether or not that framework in going to work moving forward, specifically as it relates to funding for academic teams. I’d love for some younger members of SA to make that one of their goals for the working group as the way funding is structured is going to have a big impact on the next few years here.

**Org Recognition/Renewal Process Working Group Assignments**

- **Gillian Mak:** The next working group we want to create will look at our process for Organization Recognition and Renewal. We are looking at if we can minimize duplication. Is 200 clubs really sustainable for our school size? You’ll be working with Kaity Werner in Student Activities. I would like at least four people on this working group.

- **Jon Stanhope:** Yeah. And another aim of the group will be to create a set of guidelines for what will be approved as a student organization moving forward.
As the system is set-up right now, if you’d like to create a student org then you can do that by filling out paperwork in the Student Activities office, listing your mission, members, goals, etc. After that, the club is either approved (yay! you can ask for funding) or denied by Student Activities. The approval process is not currently written down though, and we’ve been having Kaity Werner in Student Activities basically just use common sense in making these decisions. Moving forward though we’d like to have clear guidelines for how that decision will be made so it can be as clear cut and fair as possible.

SMART: Hannah Fink and Bridget Lavin

- **Hannah Fink (Programming Co-Chair):** Hello everyone, I know that I have been here a few times last year to discuss SMART related topics. I am the Programming Co-Chair of SMART. We are here today to talk about what SMART can do for your organization, and how we want to collaborate with you.

- **Bridget (Policy Co-Chair):**
  - SMART is a grassroots organization of Hamilton community members dedicated to ending the culture supporting sexual assault on our campus through committees on policy, programming, and activism. Our goals for the committees, respectively, are to: change Hamilton’s Title IX policy and advocate for survivors via PASA (Policy Advisors for Sexual Assault), provide a comprehensive education via workshops and events centered around sexual assault, created by students for students via STOP (Student Trainers for Outreach Programming), and increase awareness of the issues regarding Title IX policy on campus and beyond.
  - My specific division is the Policy Committee, where we take survivors experiences and make policy recommendations to the administration. We are also in charge of the PASA program, where students can be PASA trained. There are currently 5 in depth trainings, on Title IX policies both at Hamilton and nationally, amongst other subjects such as emotional validation, counseling services (both for survivors, and for PASA members as they may experience secondhand trauma) and other subjects. Survivors can come to a Policy Advisor for anything ranging from emotional support to help during an investigation process. It is up to the survivor. We as a committee also work on a Plan of Action document, which is why we are here tonight. We want to work with SA to create a plan of action document which is created mostly by SA representatives, through the SMART ambassador, the SA representative(s) who work directly with us, and the Policy Committee. This plan of action document will review both preventative and reactionary measures and will be a document on paper that everyone on SA can review and agree upon, so you as members of this group know exactly how
you are going to react to a situation regarding sexual assault. Especially on these
documents, we work one on one. We will work on a realistic document for your
group. We want this to be really something that can realistically be implemented
in your group, not an ideal set of measures that you won’t actually be able to
follow. It’s Student Assembly coming to us on what you think will work for your
group.

- **Hannah Fink:** I’m the Co-Chair of the Programming Committee, and we do a few
different things. In the spring, we will be implementing SMART Talks. SMART Talks
are discussion-based workshops to encourage peer to peer conversations in order to
engage the campus in awareness and prevention of sexual assault. They are customized
on a group by group basis to see what issues are most pressing to each organization.
Before a SMART Talk, we would send out a questionnaire to Student Assembly (or
whichever group we’re working with) about what specifically you guys want to discuss.
Examples of potential discussion topics are what consent actually looks like, with
realistic scenarios, intimate partner violence, emotional validation, and so forth. When we
can create a culture at Hamilton that doesn't shy away from open and honest exchange, I
think we can see a real difference on this campus — and it begins with SMART working
with student leaders and organizations who want to step up. We are not here to teach you,
or talk down to you from a powerpoint for 30 minutes about what we think is important.
It really is what you want to talk about. We are here to facilitate a dialogue and a plan of
action. SMART Talks will be implemented as a part of the Plan of Action documents.
There is no one set of SMART Talks: it is customized to make sure that you, as Student
Assembly, get what you want out of it.

- **Bridget Lavin:** We are trying to end rape culture on campus, and working with
organizations like SA is essential to that.
  - **Ysabel Coss:** I will be serving as the Sexual Assault point person for SA, and
    would like to talk with as many people as possible because we all have different
    experiences and point of views.
  - **Gillian Mak:** Ysabel will also liaison with SAVES
  - **Ysabel Coss:** I will be going to the peer advocate training tomorrow!

- **Rachel Sutor:** Are you keeping track of mandatory reportings?
  - **Hannah Fink:** I’m sorry, do you mind explaining a bit further?
  - **Rachel:** If someone comes to you with a situation, do you refer them to other
    resources?
  - **Hannah Fink**: In terms of if we are required to report to the Title IX office, no. It
    is really up to the survivor of whether or not they wish to report. If they want to
go through the 60 day report, we have PASA members who can help and assist
with resources and answer any questions. We also want to be here to emotionally validate and support other survivors.

- **Bridget Lavin**: We will give them the options, but the survivor ultimately chooses how they want to move forward and process their assault.
- **Rachel**: My concern is that Hamilton College is under investigation on failure to report to Title IX. Is it only after that the survivors assault get recorded as an incident?
- **Bridget Lavin**: It is completely up to the survivor. In order to keep statistics up to date, we ask any survivor we are working with if we can anonymously inform the Title IX office at the end of the semester with raw numbers on how many people we worked with. No names are shared, and this is up to the survivor’s comfort level of whether or not they want their record of assault being included in the raw numbers for data. We never push them into anything, as SMART’s main concern is supporting survivors in any way we can.

- **Keith Ruggles**: Is PASA a recognized and accredited training program? Has there been previous PASA training?
  - **Bridget Lavin**: There were training sessions last year, but not this year. We are currently in the talks with the Title IX office to be officially recognized as an on-campus resource, which means we’re working on restructuring to make our program stronger.
  - **Hannah Fink**: We have been also been talking and facetiming with other students who run similar programs on different college campuses.
  - **Bridget Lavin**: I’ve spoken with Claire Comey, who implemented a similar program at Richmond University, called PMSA (Peer Mentors for Sexual Assault). By looking to other schools who have successfully implemented similar programs, we can point to examples that programs like these are possible and can work on campus when we are talking to the administration. This is especially relevant when speaking to small schools with similar campus environments to Hamilton. We’re Skyping with students who run a program called SMA at Kenyon College this Wednesday, which I’m especially eager to do, as Kenyon has even less students than we do, and we can really learn about how a peer advisor group like this truly operates on such a small campus.

- **Lilly Pieper**: Who leads this training, and what kind of qualifications do they have? I am a firm believer in when clubs have a common goal they should work together. How do you think PASA stands up with SAVES’ peer advocates program? Do you think this is a better system?
Bridget Lavin: PASA and SMART were created by Charlotte Bennett, and she was in charge of the trainings. She worked at SurvJustice, an organization that works with and advocates legally for sexual assault survivors. I can't remember all the rest of Charlotte’s qualifications off the top of my head, but she has many, and she listed them in a public comment period of an SA meeting last October. She was also peer advocate trained and she wished that there was a program of students who could go further, and also provide support through the Title IX process. She wanted to create a comprehensive program. We believe that we should have the opportunity to have student advisors, if students so wish. We are also working with SAVES to have coalition on campus where the peer advocate program and PASA can coexist and function together effectively.

Lilly Pieper: Do you think peer advocate program should exist? Could it be merged to better serve students?

Bridget Lavin: We believe they should, and can, co-exist.

Harry: What's a good contact information for groups to reach out to you?

Bridget: There are a few options. SMART@hamilton.edu, or my email, blavin@hamilton.edu

Hannah Fink: My email is hfink@hamilton.edu. The benefits of working with SMART is that we are a grassroots org, and are directly informed by and work with survivors. I myself am a survivor, and everyone on our committee has done extensive research and work to create this organization. We have access to resources and connections to survivor networks. We want to be there for the survivor and provide any help that we can. If you are a survivor and are even just looking for a friend to talk to, please don’t hesitate to reach out to any of us.

Committee Reports

Constitution:

Max Kohn: Clearly, we will have a lot to work on in terms of funding codes

Cultural Affairs:

Nadav Konforty: The Cultural Affairs Committee has looked at the mission statement for the committee online at the Student Assembly’s website and reviewed it to ensure that it is aligned with our current goals for the semester. One of the key parts of the Cultural Affairs Committee mission statement that resonated with us was:

“The Cultural Affairs Committee of Student Assembly strives to promote events, causes, and initiatives that are directly aimed at enriching the campus community through exposure to, raising awareness for, or representation of issues pertaining to race, culture, sexuality, gender
With this in mind, the Cultural Affairs Committee wants to be able to publically support and promote the programming of cultural groups. We recognize that this was a facet of the Cultural Affairs Committee that was taken away at the end of last year. However, we do feel that now, more than ever, it is important for cultural groups to have their programming seen in the minutes, as we believe that this heightened visibility will help increase the number of participants at these cultural events. Though we also understand that these groups already have emails that they send out to the whole campus and that there is an argument that if we allow cultural groups to be advertised in the minutes or at the central council, then this will lead to all clubs wanting the same. Our response to this is that we believe that there is something special about SA amplifying the voices of cultural groups. We recognize that this may be a difficult topic to convince people in a simple email, so we are more than ready to discuss the matter with the entirety of the Central Council if need be.

Moreover, we also want to bring back the petition to make Hamilton College a sanctuary campus. We recognize that the petition was signed and brought up to the board of trustees last year, however, the situation has become even more dire. In the time between the last petition and now, President Trump has announced his plan to repeal DACA unless Congress acts. Now, though I do not aim to be overly political or partisan, I do still believe it is the responsibility of Hamilton College to protect its students in whatever way possible. Though the trustees ultimately did not opt to create policy to defend our students from ICE and President Trump, now there is more of a threat. The Hamilton Administration should not wait until March 6th when the deportations will inevitably start for them to begin to draft up policy. It is time the school preemptively take a stand to protect its students. This is also something that we can further bring to the Central Council, if the SA leadership believes that is the best course of action.

Finally, the last order of business that we want to bring to the attention of the Central Council is that we want student assembly to be able to make public and open statements. We have seen President Wippman send out statements to the campus surrounding policy changes that the Trump administration has made. Though we find that admirable, we want to be able to make hard-lined statements that illustrate that SA will do everything in its power to protect our fellow peers. Though this was something that was briefly brought up by our previous discussion on the situation with the RAs, the Cultural Affairs Committee believes
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it prudent for the Student Assembly to release very public statements that allow the student body to know that we stand with them whether it be the Co-op or changes in national or international policy.

- **Gillian Mak:** Aleta and I co-wrote the Sanctuary Campus Petition last fall and would be more than happy to fill you in on any information I’ve received since.

- **Facilities:**
  - **Keith Ruggles:** We are working on getting feedback on trac manager, and some funding for posters, then will work with the print shop. Julian sent Sylvia a message on parking so we can stay on the projects from last year. Can someone explain to me who Wei Lei is?
  - **Zach:** he helped make the Trac Manager app, and is working on improvements for it all the time.

  *Note: Students can download the Trac Manager app to keep up with where the Jitney is.*

  - **Harry Dubke:** When they sent out parking rules, I sent an email to Frank Coots regarding if we will be having the same project with the pub lot on weekends and he said it was on review. I think you guys should talk to them about that, it’s not fair that they rescinded it without student support.

- **Food:** Still waiting on Bon Appetit to let us know when they can meet. Planning to have first meeting sometime this week.

- **Health and Safety:** Our first project dealing with college about putting a policy together on how the college deals with students death, will be working with relevant administration.

- **LITS Committee:** I am working with Noelle to advertise the Utica jitney in a more sustainable way. We are also making sue that the stops are open. The live streaming meetings will not happen. AV said there are legalities with it but if we really wanted to do it we can facebook live it. I was asked if we wanted to open it up for other users besides students for the New York Times.

  - **Jon Stanhope:** For the Utica Jitney, is it linked to the DMC because of the food markets that the Jitney takes people to?
    - **Eseosa Asiruwa:** Yes
  
  - **Jake Engelmen:** According to the funding codes we only fund for students, so the New York Times is only reserved for students

- **Philanthropy:** participated in make a difference day. Bake sale on tuesday for Hurricane Harvey
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- **Publicity Committee:** We are working with Eseosa regarding the SA assembly website. We will need to take pictures and headshots. We also need to set up a time where we can have a group picture.
- **Social Traditions:** Fall Fest is September 30th, of you know of any clubs that would like a booth there. It is a festival off campus in the Clinton Town Square.
- **Student Affairs:** Currently we are planning a Know Your Rights Training and working on developing the Task Force. We will also reach out to the co-op.

### Funding

Amount remaining prior to Student Assembly meeting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Items/Services Requested</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
<th>Resubmit</th>
<th>Amount Funded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mock Trial</td>
<td>Travel &amp; food costs for 3 tournaments</td>
<td>$4,031</td>
<td>$3,206</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$3,356.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model UN</td>
<td>Hotel Costs</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAAC</td>
<td>Pizza, T-Shirts, Tumblers</td>
<td>$3,302.50</td>
<td>$2,672.50</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>$2,672.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow Food</td>
<td>10 Workshops</td>
<td>$1,875</td>
<td>$1,825</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>$1,825.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding Team</td>
<td>More Equipment</td>
<td>$62.80</td>
<td>$62.80</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>$62.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEAG</td>
<td>2 Film Screenings &amp; Table Campaign</td>
<td>$1,420.01</td>
<td>$1,373.75</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>$1,373.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Club</td>
<td>Bake Sale, Cultural Performance, Presentation</td>
<td>$555</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$368.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spoon University</td>
<td>4 Events</td>
<td>$355.41</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>$295.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Asian Students Association  | Speaker & Film Screening | $1,347.09 | $1,347.09 | N  | $1,347.00
---|---|---|---|---|---
Hamilton Microfinance Group | Follow-up Visits | $229.30 | $137.40 | N  | $137.40
Philanthropy Committee (Non-strategic) | Thanksgiving Dinner | $1002.26 | $233.26 | N  | $233.26
Philanthropy Committee (Discretionary) | Dumpling Sale & Raffle | N/A | $644 | N  | $644
Facilities Committee | Posters for Jitney Tracking Info | $25 | $25 | N  | $25.00

Mock Trial:
- **Jake Engelman**: The discrepancy between what they submitted and our recommendation is for two things. For each trip they requested $25 for 2.75 days per person. We will be giving $25 for each night, and we welcome them to resubmit for additional meals at $8/person. And they asked for $150 for food for week of race. They speak over winter break but they did not itemize, so we did not recommend.
- **Ryan Bloom**: I believe it was last year we set the precedent that if organizations are cooking for food then they don't have to itemize. We have 15 people on the team who will be here early.
- **Jake Engelman**: because of the clarification about the cooking we will up for recommendation 3, 356

*Mock Trial Funding passes as recommended.*

Model UN

**Jake Engelman**: Because funding issues that held up the hotel booking process, they need more money to fund their hotel rooms. This just gets them under 10 percent.
- **Cesar Domenech**: If we don't have money we can't attend the conference because there will be no where else to stay. Unluckily the only hotel rooms open were the two rooms that were $550 total.
- **Harry Dubke**: Aren't there cheaper options?
- **Cesar Domenech**: We are required by conference rules to stay in the conference hotels.
- **Jake Engelman**: We looked at other options for travel and such at the funding meeting and found that travel/other costs to book a different hotel would be greater than $550.
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- **Zach Oscar:** Given that we already funded so much of it, I think we need to fund them for it now. But looking in the future, it may be better to book the hotels earlier.
- **Jake Engelman:** They had to wait for our funding to book the hotel, so that is why their process was delayed.
- **Zach Oscar:** Maybe there needs to be a funding hold for academic teams, but that is something we should look into in the future
- **Kureem Nugent:** $12,000 is a ridiculous amount of money.

Model UN funding passes as recommended. 2 dissenting votes.

**SAAC:**
- **Jake Engelman:** They resubmitted for shirts. The discrepancy is the sunglasses which we already funded. We are recommending around $2700, they are getting shirts, pizzas and tumblers.

**SAAC Funding passes as recommended.**

**Slow Food**

- **Jake Engelman:** There is a small discrepancy between what they requested and what we recommend. They budgeted meals from Commons for their visitors but it was more than the actual cost.

**Coding Team:** recommending funding in full.

**HEAG:**
- **Jake Engelman:** Cost per person for snacks at their first film screening was above our recommended $5/person, so we adjusted.

**Slow Food, Coding Team, and HEAG funding passes as recommended.**

**Spanish Club:** The discrepancy is because we cannot fund bake sales, and food was not itemized.

**SPOON University:** Asking for funding for apple bake-off, halloween cookies, Potluck, and hot chocolate.
- **Jake:** We got dates and locations for three of their four events, of which we are recommending funding those three events in full.

**Asian Students Association:** Recommending funding in full.

**Spanish club, Spoon University, and ASA funding passes as recommended.**

**Hamilton Microfinance:** They resubmitted, but budgeted for things that they already received funding for.
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**Philanthropy:**

**Non strategic:** Thanksgiving dinner in full.

**Discretionary:** recommending for dumpling sale and raffle.

- **Ben Katz:** I know Philanthropy is a great committee but we should not treat them differently from other clubs

- **Lilly:** Well the goal of the Philanthropy Committee is to help people and causes, I see no problem with it, especially as discretionary is for SA use.

**Facilities Committee**

- There is also a 25 discretionary budget request from the facilities committee.

*Nonstrategic funding passes as recommended.*

*Philanthropy and Facilities discretionary passes as recommended.*

**Kureem Nugent:** I think when there are discrepancies we should try to reach out to the clubs to make sure they know when it won’t be productive to come to our SA meeting.

**Announcements:**

- **Gillian Mak:** I just want to thank the Central Council members who attended our retreat this past weekend. Because our meeting is already significantly over the time limit, I will table further discussion to next week when we can continue the conversation. I hope the time was helpful for bonding and thinking more critically about your individual role on the Assembly. Also, thank you to the Peer Counselors who spent their Saturday morning working with us-- we greatly appreciate your help!