The Central Council of Student Assembly, Hamilton College

STUDENT ASSEMBLY MEETING
NOVEMBER 6, 2017

1. Call to Order

■ Present

- Jon Stanhope
- Gillian Mak
- Ramisa Tasnim
- Nani Suzuki
- Jake Engelman
- Max Kohn
- Lilly Pieper
- Noam Barnhard
- Kureem Nugent
- Marquis Palmer
- Zach Oscar
- Ysabel Coss
- Jonathan Kirshenbaum
- Casey Codd
- Molly Clark
- Jack Fischman
- Max Phillipps
- Harry Dubke
- Cesar Guerrero
- Ben Katz
- Jodan D’Addio
- Nadav Konforty
- Julian Perricone
- Keith Ruggles
- Gianni Hill
- Elizabeth Groubert
- Amanda Kim
- Jiin Jeong
- Maria Valencia
- Diana Perez
- Eseosa Asiruwa

2. Public Comment Period

Joey Dixon and Josh Rothstein-- CAB Concerts Board

- Joey Dixon: Thanks for having us, this is our first time at an SA meeting and it's nice to cross this off our Senior year bucket list. We are here on behalf of CAB. Together, Josh and I book the concerts and we are starting to look forward to booking next semester. We
are on the verge of a big decision that we are seeking campus feedback on. That is why we are here, to hear your feedback and use SA meetings for public comment, and transparency. Essentially, we are thinking about dropping the normal Spring Concert and rolling that budget into our C&C budget. This would markedly increase our budget for C&C and we would likely be able to book a significantly larger and more popular artist. Here are definite advantages in rolling our spring concert budget into the C&C budget. We are sending out a survey via email tomorrow and will give out reference points for bands to get campus feedback because this is a big decision and it impacts a lot of people on campus.

- **Josh Rothstein:** I really wanted to drive the point that all of CAB listens to what everyone says, we are here to book artist that the entire campus wants. We want people to take the survey seriously because we all listen to it.
- **Jake Engelman:** In the past has C&C been changed by the budget? Can you give us some kind of idea of $2000 would increase C&C act
  - **Joey Dixon:** We typically spend between $20,000 and $25,000 on talent for the spring and fall concerts and around $50,000 on talent for the C&C concert. If we got rid of the spring show our budget for C&C would be roughly 60,00 to 70,00.
  - **Josh Rothstein:** If we were to have a Spring Concerts we could get blackbear, Noname, Ugly God, Hermitude, etc and for C&C we could get Russ, Echosmith, MØ, Vince Staples, etc. If we get rid of Spring concert we could have names like Galantis, Lil Yatchy, Lil Dicky, Bebe Rhexa, etc. Pricing could change, so these are not the final names we will be considering but it gives a solid indication of what our money can buy.
- **Cesar Guerrero:** What is the process of bringing in an artist?
  - **Joey Dixon:** We use a middle-man agency called Pretty Polly. For bigger concerts, when you have large artist there is a decent amount of paperwork and you need people with a lot of professional experience. To use the Fall Concert as an example, Josh and I started coming up with potential acts in June and we worked with Pretty Polly to figure out prices of acts that we think students would be interested in. Then, based on artist availability and pricing, we start to pare it down to 10 to 12 names. Then we send out a survey to the community. Based on survey results, we try to pursue whoever wins the popular vote. Booking for Spring show and C&C will follow the same process.
Josh Rothstein: Using an agency like Pretty Polly is essential. There is an unfortunate track record of colleges who don’t use agencies who have been scammed. Emory tried to book Migos and they lost $50,000 and had no concert. When we use Pretty Polly, our money is being protected. Also, they can give insight on finding the best artist for a college show.

Keith Ruggles: How close in time are the Spring and C&C concerts usually?

Joey Dixon: Spring concert depends, we probably try to target it right after spring break. It is too early to tell, but it is never that close to C&C

Ben Katz: Do you charge money for C&C concert?

Josh Rothstein: They’re free

Ben Katz: Why not?

Joey Dixon: Class and Charter is outdoors, so it is harder to enforce ticket sales. The broader purpose is we want it to be accessible to as many people as possible. CAB is not a for-profit enterprise.

Ben Katz: How do the ticket sales for other concerts offset the costs?

Joey Dixon: They don’t offset the costs, they go into a different CAB fund for other CAB events that require additional funding.

Josh Rothstein: When we brought Pete Davidson for Comedy, we spent a little bit more, so that’s an example of what ticket sale profits can be used for.

Marquis Palmer: Is this just a one year decision or is this for the future as well?

Joey Dixon: That’s ultimately not up to me. It is being pitched as a one year decision. We would have to get see how the campus responds to it.

Josh Rothstein: I see it as a pilot thing. If people like it we’ll look into keeping it in future years.

Elizabeth Groubert: Do most colleges have three concerts a year?

Joey Dixon: Most colleges have two concerts. We are atypical

Elizabeth Groubert: Why do we have three?

Noelle Niznik: I used to advise CAB so I will try to provide some history. Before my time the Class and Charter concert did not exist. CAB had a fall concert and a spring concert. Separately, there was a day called May Day (a day of bands). Due to high risk of drinking and activities that happened on last day of classes, a decision was made to have a concert to give students something to do that would curb the dangerous drinking behavior. Student Activities took on this charge and employed CAB, WHCL, and Social Traditions Committee to plan a fun end of the
year concert with food and music. The goal is to have this show outside but our rain site has historically been the Field House.

- **Noam Barnhard**: Will the results of this survey decide whether or not you will have one concert or simply guide your decision?
  - **Joey Dixon**: The results will guide our decision. We want an overwhelming majority of population to agree with it. Will get rid of spring concert if two thirds want to cut the concert. This underscores the necessity of having as many people respond to the survey as possible -- we want a representative sample of the student body.

- **Ysabel Coss**: If two thirds votes get rid of the Spring concert, would there be anything put in place to take the spot of the Spring concert?
  - **Joey Dixon**: There probably won’t be something in our department, but maybe we could do Acoustic Coffeehouse that is larger during FebFest. Typically that Coffeehouse is the largest of the year and perhaps we could market it as a small concert.

- **Ysabel Coss**: How much of your budget goes to the agency?
  - **Josh Rothstein**: 10% of the artist’s fee

- **Lilly Pieper**: Who have been in Coffeehouse in the past?
  - **Josh Rothstein**: Chadwick Stokes, Magic Man, etc.

**Muhammad Najib—Finals Week Food:**

- **Muhammad Najib**: I wanted to talk about the finals week free food. Last semester SA funded free Pizza. It was about 30 boxes every day, It would finish in thirty minute. I wanted to talk about it and start planning. We learned last year to have funding in advance and to have proper volunteers. Last year we did it four days in KJ and once in the science center. We can talk about the possibility of doing both each day. Someone suggested dedicated coffee stations, just wanted to see what people think and of it is possible

- **Jon Stanhope**: We did this last Spring, when Muhammad was Vice President, because we had a bunch of money leftover that would just be rolled back to Student Activities. I think we have around $2,000 left in discretionery, but this won’t go back to Student Activities-- it will go into the Student Assembly budget for next semester.
  - **Jake Engelman**: We have $1,968.88 in discretionery, and if today’s discretionery funding passes it will be $1,647.56.
Jon Stanhope: That is something to keep in mind of we do something with food. I don't know about using the full $1600.

Jordan D’Addio: I agree.

Ysabel Coss: I think we should be mindful of what kind of food we are serving. At times of high stress, it is very easy to eat only unhealthy junk food or not eat at all. Instead of pizza or candy/cookies/soda, etc., we should think about getting healthier snacks for this plan.

Jake Engelman: I am definitely not against funding some food. But I think we should remember how much food we do fund through various organizations. We should consider how much money we will spend on programming. Let's keep this in mind.

Gillian Mak: I want to echo this, the Mosaic project we are working on will cost maybe $10,000 split between a few groups and offices on campus, but SA will have a responsibility to cover at least some of it. I also don't feel entirely comfortable spending a bunch of money that would otherwise go to next semester’s Assembly as Jon and my term is coming to an end. Last fall, Lizzi and Kureem left Jon money that allowed clubs to do more in the Spring.

Gianni Hill: I don't know if this has been a thing of the past, but I would prefer to see this money be used in ways that are more tangible and will last.

Marquis Palmer: Maybe if the funding allows us to do something we could put stress balls in everyone's mailbox.

Elizabeth Groubert: I think Ysabel's idea of healthier food is great, but from a financial standpoint, pizza is a more cost-effective option. I would fully support funding a more healthy option, but I don't think it's financially feasible given the tight budget we have this semester; We will only have about $1,600 in discretionary left for the rest of the semester if funding passes. If we choose to fund an event like this, the cost per head will be much lower for pizza than it will for say Taj.

Diana Perez: I don't know how feasible this is, but of the issue is providing food, maybe we can have discussion with Bon Appetit and maybe they can have dining halls open later where people and grab a cookie or something.

Julian Perricone: We can definitely talk about that in Food Committee meeting, there are a number issues of why it could be difficult. We would need initiative as to why someone would work at those times.

Cesar Guerrero: How much did the late night brunch from FebFest cost last year?
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- **Jordan D’Addio**: I’m not sure right now
- **Lilly Pieper**: In the past hasn't Bon Appetit made a lot of pizzas
  - **Gillian Mak**: Groups still have to pay for them.
- **Ben Katz**: I think we should look into talking with administration about keeping the dining halls open later than 8:30 PM during finals.
  - **Julian Perricone**: If you need the food committee reports, just hang on there.
- **Lilly Pieper**: The library also has free food, a lot of clubs also have food sales during finals week. I love the idea of pizza but $1,600 is a lot.
  - **Marquis Palmer**: Just to speak to Lilly’s point and the broader point that we're broke. Maybe Student Assembly can provide the same food as the library but in KJ. This will be cheaper and fair to the other side of campus.
  - **Noam Barnhard**: Maybe Bon Appetit can put their coffee machines to KJ for the weekend.
- **Muhammad Najib**: I just wanted to mention as to healthier alternatives. We can do whatever SA decides. Last semester the library was short on budget and did not have food some days. Also, this initiative connected with student body and it was very convenient for those studying in KJ.
  - **Jon Stanhope**: I just want to be clear, for those who don’t know, Muhammad is the MVP.

3. **Funding**

Amount Remaining: **$185.79**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Items/Services Requested</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
<th>Resubmit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Art Collective</td>
<td>Photo Paper</td>
<td>$276.40</td>
<td>$185.79</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount Requested: $276.40  
Amount Recommended: $185.79  
**Amount Remaining if Funding Passes: $0**
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- **Jake Engelman:** We have one non-strategic funding for workshops. They are requesting photopaper. They requested $276.40 but we only have $185, so we are recommending in full to the amount we can.

**Art Collective Funding Passes as Recommended.**

- **Jake Engelman:** *If you are part of a club or organization and underspend for an event please roll it back! There are a lot of clubs who need the money and it’s not fair if other groups are sitting on it waiting for the end of the semester!*
- **Jake Engelman:** We have two discretionary budgets for Thanksgiving Dinner which is $240, $8 a head for 30 people and the freshman candy project budget comes out to $80.
  - **Elizabeth Groubert:** Yes, we will get skittles and starbursts to put up on freshman doors. I, myself, am a proponent of chocolate but we chose allergy-friendly candies to be more inclusive. Freshmen will have a sweet surprise to come home to and the cost per head is low at 18 cents.
- **Nani Suzuki:** For the Thanksgiving dinner, we will order Taj Mahal for SA. The dinner is for us SA members because we work hard and the executive board appreciates all of you.
  - **Marquis Palmer:** I’m cool with the food, just make sure we have something vegetarian friendly.

**Discretionary Funding passes as recommended.**

### 4. Old Business

- **Student Accountability in the Residential Life Resolution**— follow up on Zach’s point from last week’s conversation.
- **Zach Oscar:** It is simply that we have talked a lot about in the Reslife resolution and Student Voices resolution about having administrators be accountable. We agree that they are doing that. There is a level that we’re missing about where we are on holding ourselves and other students accountable. The fact that the List party happened goes against everything we have been doing here, it hampers that effort. I was made aware that it was burglary because they physically broke into an academic building, and three or four EMTs were called. How are we going to hold ourselves accountable? Where are we saying to each other, this goes against what we’re trying to get done. It was disheartening to hear Noelle say that she was thinking about making it a space and now, reasonably so, that conversation has not happened. We as students abused that possible avenue of cooperation. I
was hoping to include something in the resolutions or something regarding student accountability.

- **Molly Clark**: I am concerned about how the Res-Life resolution could be interpreted. Given what happened in List, the discussion about closing social spaces, and the added element of sub free housing, I am worried that the combination of requests could be read to be about partying.

- **Ysabel Coss**: I completely agree. So many times dorms get physically destroyed, and I think that is the thing that creates further tension and makes cooperating that much more difficult. It is really frustrating to work with those who demand change but don’t hold up their end of the bargain and follow policies put in place by those who they are demanding change from. I think it is a separate matter from List and other parties.

- **Zach Oscar**: I want to reinforce what Molly is saying. You have what happened with COOP and one of the main points that the student body made was asking about what was what was wrong with the party itself. Then when something that is clearly a violation happens there was no mention other than the discussion we are having now. We can't on one hand say oh look at what you are doing to the administration, and then not get mad at students for breaking and vandalizing a space. How can we on the reverse say you are not giving us any venues to pursue these places? I think it would be unfair for this resolution to seem as though it were being done strictly for more social spaces to have parties after all the good work Harry has done on it.

- **Noam Barnhard**: I feel like this is the chicken and the egg dilemma - we should also be looking at what is precipitating these actions. While we should never condone breaking and entering or vandalism, I think students are doing this because there is a lack of social spaces. I don't think the students who made the List party wanted to break the law. I think they were fed up by their inability to throw a party like this one and acted out. So we should also be looking at the social scene at Hamilton that is pushing students into this corner.

  - **Zach Oscar**: To do an unlawful act?
  - **Noam Barnhard**: I agree with you we should have a discussion about this but it is more than the students here. There are two parties and we should not simply point a finger at the students.
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- **Jake Engelman**: I think it is a nice thought to say our hands were tied so we had to break into the place for party but we are not entitled to anything here, if the administration decides to close a space at the end of the day we have to abide by their rules. If they lock a door we can't just break into and say it's their fault
  - **Noam Barnhard**: I want to reiterate that I agree with that. But we should look at the other party in the mix. Students believe it is necessary to have fun and we’re being put in a situation where that is extremely difficult to do.
  - **Marquis Palmer**: I don't think that's the case. This is not to condemn anyone who went to the party, but the reason it went down is because people saw it as a risky, and therefore funner, way to get lit. They were not forced into List out of a lack of other alternatives.
  - **Jordan D’Addio**: We have gotten a great response from the administration about social spaces. Not that your point is invalid, but I don't think there is a justification for breaking into a building.
  - **Amanda Kim**: I agree with that, if our student body feels they need to break the law in order to party it is another issue.
  - **Ysabel Coss**: I think it's unfair to say that this is something we had to do because we were forced into a corner. Just looking at the cooperation we've gotten from Res life shows that that did not have to be our response.

- **Harry Dubke**: I think that We can agree that breaking into List was unlawful and in bad spirit towards the administration. That said, I don't think we should confound the party at List with this resolution at social spaces. In the end the ppl who hold us responsible are the administration. The point of this resolution is to create transparency and to open social spaces for socialization. The mental health section on Article III, comes from the problems with isolation due to a lack of socializing on campus. I don't the resolution says we should open spaces for partying. When it says social spaces, and its ambiguous, it is for student congregation. Whether it's playing Super Smash bros or casually drinking where it is allowed. I don't think we should confound illegal activities with this resolution.
  - **Ben Katz**: I want to emphasize Noam’s problems with scale. I think this stems from the fact that there are only two or three spaces where alcohol is
allowed. I firmly agree with Zach's point that we should not support breaking and entering.

- **Julian Perricone:** I would like to redirect what Zach is originally trying to get, I don't really know if student accountability belongs in Harry's resolution. I would love to start talking about student accountability as a whole. Assembly.

- **Zach Oscar:** Noam, I want to apologize, I’m having a bad day and I was extra sassy to you. I want to reiterate that Harry, everything you said was valid. My point is when things like this happened it takes away the power of students writing with these goals in mind. We need to have some discussion on student to student accountability. Yes the administration will administer points, but if we are not having the conversation ourselves, like having a formal process, it takes away from the power of the movement, I don't know what it looks like but it has to come from us. How do we in conversation with each other make it more realistic for us to go through a process that involves all side rather than saying we had no other option?

- **Marquis Palmer:** To be fair to Noam, I don't think he was trying to justify the actions, I think he was trying to offer a possible explanation—though not, in my view, a plausible one—as to why that event took place. But back to Julian and Zach’s point, are you trying to say we should put forth some kind of resolution that imposes obligation to hold each other accountable?
  
  - **Zach Oscar:** To be honest, I don't know what it would look like.
  
  - **Marquis Palmer:** I think this conversation is sufficient, we need to remind ourselves that we are student representatives and that holding each other accountable comes with the territory. Further, maybe when something like this event happens we can send out emails saying we don't agree with them.

- **Jon Stanhope:** If we were to ask administration to open social spaces, we should be speaking up and speaking with the rest of the students that might challenge progress we are trying to make.

- **Noam Barnhard:** Marquis, thanks for clarifying that. I want to get a better idea of what the general proposal is. It seems like we want to hold ourselves accountable to not breaking laws. There needs to be some boundary in regards to that: should I be stopping my underaged friends from drinking? Should I stop people from smoking weed? In terms of holding people responsible, is it all laws
or some laws? You say we should take a stand against unlawful acts but where do we draw the line? The student body does feel like they need to break the law in order to party - most students do that.

- **Zach Oscar:** We are all very used to engaging in hyperbole. Obviously, no, we should not be our brother's keeper and say “I see x person smoking weed and I have to hold them accountable.” What we are missing is when this party was happening, given in light of the context of when it happened, it would have been appropriate and a strong show for us to maybe sent out an all campus email, stating that we condemned the acts of those in the List Party. The fact that we did not have a response to the situation shows us a lack of initiative from us.

- **Diana Perez:** I think it’s important to distinguish responsibility and accountability. I don't think it's the students role to hold others accountable that is why we have law enforcement and RAs. I agree with Marquis’ idea, and I think sending emails would be the most practical avenue.

- **Jordan D’Addio:** I agree with Zach's point that there’s a line between certain common occurrences and breaking and entering or vandalism. To address Marquis’s point, I just wanted to re-raise the idea of SA having some sort of platform to send out formal statements, whether that be emails or not. This can be used for not just when students do something wrong but also of something great happens. I know other schools’ student governments will take a stance when there is a hot political topic or something to that effects, and we can discuss how far we’d want to take it, but I think it could be useful.

- **Gianni Hill-- Vote for a slight change in language to the Student Voices Resolution**

  - **Gianni Hill:** I had a meeting with Dean Martinez and she liked most of it. She had one comment on Article I. I had written “The administration will respond within 72 hours..” Instead she would like to change “respond” to “acknowledge receipt.” I think this is reasonable, as it holds administration accountable that they have received the resolution and are discussing it with the end goal of public comment. Dean Martinez will have a written statement out.

  - **Harry Dubke:** I think the acknowledgement and or receipt cuts the leg off of it. I think they need to respond. We can't take that out, that's the whole backbone of your resolutions. They might promise but we must demand it
Gianni Hill: The second part of the resolved section ensures that action will be taken to address the issue to the entire student body. I don't know if you wanted to add any other language on that.

- Harry Dubke: Maybe a date?
- Gianni Hill: The timeline can get tricky. That is my only concern in terms of setting a deadline as to when this all campus email goes out.

Jonathan Kirshenbaum: I firmly disagree with the premise that moving the 72 hour clause is significantly detrimental to the resolution. If we expect a substantive “response” to a likely nuanced issue within three days, we are just asking to be patronized. At the very least, any consequential response the college offers in such a short time-frame will almost certainly be unsatisfactory to students’ root desires. I think refusing Dean Martinez’s proposed clause unreasonably expands how much busy administrative offices should be expected to drop everything to respond to likely complicated issues in a three day time span.

Gianni Hill: The way it is written now is that the administration will respond to any resolutions within 72 hours. Saying respond also leaves it ambiguous. The later points do set up the expectations that something will be sent out to SA or to the student body as whole. Timelines are going to vary from resolution to resolution. For the Reslife discussion, we don't have something finalized. When do we say we are going to get a written statement as to why some spaces are closed? I think setting a specific deadline sets us up for failure. If we do that, it is getting a response for the sake of getting a response and this may not be ideal.

- Marquis Palmer: I totally get your point Jon (Kirsh), but we discussed and voted for this resolution because of its specific language. The reason for voting for it was because it has teeth, so choosing, after having passed it, to take out those teeth raises the ethical problem of disregarding our justification for having voted for the resolution in the first place. Maybe we can expand the 72 hrs but I don't see any logistical problems in keeping our time demand but expanding it to a week or so.

Harry Dubke: I think 72 hour for receipt and acknowledgement and maybe the relevant member of the administration should come in to one of the next two Assembly meetings.
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- **Kureem Nugent:** I’d like to ask the Central Council a question: How many of you go through the Google Doc drafts and thoroughly review and then comment on resolutions before coming to meetings? In these meetings, we spend a lot of time discussing the language in each resolution rather than talking about what actions we can take after drafting one. I’m not saying that language isn't important but we should allocate more time to more important things.

- **Zach Oscar:** I think Dean Martinez has been very cooperative with us and I think we should take her feedback seriously. I think everyone's made good points in voicing their concerns that we might not get solid response from the administration, but one of the great things about our campus is that we know who these administrators are and can address them directly.
  - **Marquis Palmer:** Harry's suggestion is not a complete opposition to Dean Martinez suggestions. We agree she had good advice, so we have incorporated in language that we find appropriate.

The Central Council Voted to amend the Student Voices Resolution, taking into account Dean Martinez’s suggestion that the relevant members of the administration acknowledge receipt of a Student Assembly Resolution within 72 hours of it being passed. In addition, the Central Council added a provision that the relevant member of the administration attend a Central Council within two meetings of a resolution being passed.

**Note:** Six Central Council members voted in support of Dean Martinez’s recommendation with no alterations. Twenty Central Council Members voted in support of Dean Martinez’s recommendation, with the the added provision that members of the administration come into a Central Council meeting.

5. **Acknowledgements**

- Shoutout to Lilly Pieper ‘18 for all her hard work on planning the Senior Pub Nights for the rest of the semester!
- Thank you to Sosa and the LITS committee for moving forward with figuring out livestream capabilities!
- Thank you to Dean Martinez and Travis Hill for being receptive to our concerns and open to finding solutions and common ground. Sometimes it is hard for the student body to see exactly how much you are working on and we’ve appreciated working with you very much!
6. Committee and Working Group Reports

- **Funding Codes and Club Registration Processes:** Please finish up recommendations to us for review during our meeting on 11/13. Send to Jon, Gillian, Noelle, and Kaity by **next Monday (11/13).** We only have two more meetings after break to get the recommendations passed.

- **Mental Health:**
  - Mosaic email went out last week. Submit your artwork by November 28th at 8 AM. Encourage your friends to submit!

- **Food Committee:**
  - Reusable chopsticks: Possible, but will cost money, so maybe make it available for those who ask
  - Breakfast bowls: Coming in the next couple weeks/after break
  - Beverage empty/full labels: No purchasable items that fulfill this need, so will have to make our own.
  - Fruit Concerns: Will seek to get crisper red apples
  - Seeking to get more international options. You can send specific food requests/home recipes to Jiin Jeong!! ([jieong@hamilton.edu](mailto:jieong@hamilton.edu))
  - Diner: Will speak to Dean Martinez about opening diner at 2pm on Saturdays (as opposed to 3pm)
  - Peter Todaro Event was successful!
  - **Jake Engelman:** Many students have asked to rent out Sadove cooking equipment. Maybe you could work with me to create a budget for pieces we don’t already have.
  - **Jon Stanhope:** Can you ask Commons to bring back soy sauce with the sushi?

- **Cultural Affairs Committee:**
  - **Zach Oscar:** We would like to give a huge shoutout to Jiin for taking the time to talk and plan with Dean Harrison in regards to international students on campus. Jiin hopes to work with him to create an International Student Panel. This will be an an event that Dean Harrison is willing to help work to sponsor. The goal of this panel will be to learn about countries in regards to their current events, the countries' governmental structures, as well as media and life within the countries themselves.
  - We are also doing some brainstorming around the 2018 Olympic Games.
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- **Student Affairs:**
  - **Zach Oscar:** We had a lunch with Dean Martinez and we had a very good conversation. A lot of us were frustrated when she came into the meeting at the beginning of the year and said a lot of “she's figuring it out” but she is actually assigning many tasks to different individuals, so there are many things in the works and she’s really making good on her word. One of the suggestions we had is maybe a publication to go out to the campus. She was saying she might do a blog. We were very impressed with everything that she is doing.

- **LITS Committee:**
  - Tim Hicks from AV is doing a lot of work helping us possibly get livestreaming for the future and set up videotaping for this meeting. He is thinking of doing live streaming in the next two weeks. I just want to say that we should hold ourselves more accountable for the things we say. As a result of the side conversations and some comments that were said tonight, I don't know if this meeting was the best representation of how we should behave during livestreams that are shown to the public.

- **Note to the Freshman Class Representatives:** Please have the lights on the bridge up by Thanksgiving Break. If you need to order more lights, you need to submit a budget to Jake by Saturday at noon.

- **Lilly Pieper:** This is an unrelated question, but when will the Sadove fireplace be turned on?
  - **Noelle Niznik:** Jon and Gillian asked me about that earlier today. We just need Physical Plant to light the starter.

7. **Announcements**

- **Dr. Rashawn Ray** will be on campus later this week talking about his research on gender and sexual violence. Members of SA were invited to attend a talk on Friday the 10th at 2 PM titled **Making Better Leaders: Establishing Ethics Principles for Social Change.** Please remember to RSVP as soon as possible.

- Phi Upsilon is hosting **Ross Szabo** on Wednesday at 8 in the Chapel. Szabo will be speaking about mental health on college campuses.

- Student Assembly members should have received an email invite to dinner at President Wippman’s house next Thursday. If you did not receive an email, please reach out to Gillian. Don’t forget to RSVP!
○ **Elizabeth Groubert:** Just a reminder that Student Assembly Friendsgiving is on Monday, November 13th at 7:30 PM!

○ **Noelle Niznik:** The furniture for Sadove basement is coming soon. We are doing a ribbon cutting program Thursday from 4-6 downstairs. By no means is it done, we will try to brighten the space. But check it out and let us know what you think!

○ **Student Assembly President and Vice President elections are coming up!**
  - **Gillian Mak:** If you have any questions or are considering running, feel free to reach out to Gillian and Jon with any questions (Kureem Nugent ‘18 and Lizzi Tran ‘18 are also both awesome resources). More formal information about the elections and the official announcements required by our constitution will be sent out after our next meeting.